## APPENDIX

## B: PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT INF0 \& SURVEY RESULTS

## How do you use the corridor?



## Do you feel safe driving along the corridor?

| Rating | Responses |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Yes | 29 | $70.73 \%$ |
| No | 12 | $29.27 \%$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Answered | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |  |
| Skipped | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |



Do you feel safe bicycling along the corridor?


Do you feel safe walking / running along the corridor?


Do you feel that speeding is an issue along the corridor?


Which side of East Pershing Boulevard would you like to see a shared use path ( Sidewalk / Greenway) constructed on?

| Choice |
| :--- |
| North |
| South |
| Both |

Rank your priorities for the corridor from top to bottom.

| Choice | Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | Weighted Score |
| Safety | 8 | 33.33\% | 9 | 37.50\% | 3 | 12.50\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 2.708333333 |
| Travel time | 5 | 20.83\% | 2 | 8.33\% | 3 | 12.50\% | 9 | 37.50\% | 1.708333333 |
| Pedestrian and/or bicycle accommodations | 8 | 33.33\% | 5 | 20.83\% | 4 | 16.67\% | 2 | 8.33\% | 2.375 |
| Place making (making the corridor unique through landscaping, signage, etc.) | 3 | 12.50\% | 2 | 8.33\% | 7 | 29.17\% | 6 | 25.00\% | 1.583333333 |
| Answered | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skipped | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Are there intersections along the corridor that should be provided pedestrian crossing improvements?


Given the recent opening of the East Cheyenne Community Open Space, do you feel that a pedestrian crossing should be provided to help cross East Pershing Boulevard?

| Choice |  |  |  |  | Responses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes |  |  |  |  | 25 | 58.14\% |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  | 4 | 9.30\% |  |  |
| Indifferent |  |  |  |  | 14 | 32.56\% |  |  |
| Answered |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |
| Skipped |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Indifferent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ No |
| 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |  | 30 | - Indifferent |

Where do you believe a crossing would be effective?


Have you noticed any drainage issues along the corridor?


Can you describe the drainage issues?
Response
Excess storm water from the North West of the US 30/ Pershing intersection floods down Uintah Rd and across Pierce.
The tire channels in the road collect water and ice
Minor flooding
The greenway tunnel under highway 30 at Polk was filled with water and impassable for literally months this past summer.
Answered
4
Skipped

## What else should we consider when reviewing the corridor for the future?

Response
If no separate bike path along East Pershing, continue to have wide shoulder to allow safe bicycling. Development of North College Dr. years ago took that opportunity away.
4 lanes from Lincoln way to Taft, or right turn lane onto Taft
Increasing speed limit. Correcting right turn onto Taft eastbound on Pershing. It needs to have a separate turn lane. Also, there needs to be a turn lane red-yellow-green light from Pershing westbound to HWY 30.

Maybe start with stop lights, the intersection of 30/Whitney. Mow and landscaping along this corridor would make it more appealing. Now all that grows are weeds and trees in and along the drainage ditch. The Saddle Ridge entrance sign looks horrible. There is no safe way to walk from neighborhoods to the new park. This could be a very nice area of town if it received the same landscaping and care from the city as other parts of town. Keep it residential housing, no apartments. It would be different if rentals we're taking care of and not trashed. Patrol the area more often, has been a lot of unwanted foot traffic, causing crime to go up. I can go on and on but nothing will come of this anyway. Traffic lights at the intersection of Whitney and hwy30
Stop building out in the county and realize food comes from somewhere, Agriculture is and should always be a priority!
Stop the uncontrolled building! You are losing the uniqueness of Cheyenne and turning it into CO all for your love of money. I personally fear for my well with all the new well drilling in the county are you going to pay for that. No I don't think so.
Consider the future growth of Saddle Ridge and whether we want to promote a culture of walking, bicycling, children outside playing, etc.
Put a roundabout at Pershing Christensen Rd
Fix/replace all the bumps on E Pershing from Christensen road to Reese.
More flashing lights on Lincolnway at the intersection of Pershing and Lincolnway. Traffic from the east comes up fast and sometimes runs the red light. I have to be extremely defensive at this intersection.
Intersection with US 30
Please note, my answers relate to utilizing the area of the corridor from Highway 30 to Taft.
A big concern I have is being able to get out of the Cheyenne Ranch subdivision. Wait times to turn into traffic on Pershing seem to be increasing and my concern is it will only get worse as traffic and population increase. The timing of the stoplights at Highway 30 and Taft Keep the split median (such as at Hayes). Turning left out of Dakota Crossing is hard and usually the only safe way to do it is to cross east bound, wait in median and then turn left when traffic is clear.
It gets narrower the more east it goes.
Protected green arrows at the light onto Taft, it is very difficult to turn onto Taft after picking my kids up from Saddle Ridge area.
Answered 16
Skipped
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## Introduction



## Background

There has been significant growth in residential and industrial development within the immediate vicinity. This combined with the recent extension of Christensen Road from US 30 to the Campstool Road Interchange have had direct impacts on how those in the community use the East Pershing Boulevard corridor. Based on these changes, it is time to provide a new vision for the future of the corridor that meets the needs of local citizens and the public..

## Goals

This project will undertake a comprehensive review of the current and future traffic demands as well as the needs of non-motorized users along the corridor. The final goal of this project is to develop a conceptual design for the future of East Pershing Boulevard that meets the needs and desires of the community. There is currently no estimated timeline for the final design and implementation of the outcomes of this plan.

## Existing Conditions

East Pershing Boulevard, within the study limits, exists as a three-lane road section with little or no non-motorized accommodations. The rural three lane section stands in contrast to the evolving community to which it serves. The wide open feel of the roadway provides the impression that drivers can drive above the posted speed limit, endangering those that
 walk or bike along the shoulder of the roadway.


## Evolving Community

Recent developments along the corridor that are reshaping the community include the Saddle Ridge Sub division and the East Cheyenne Community Park. Full build out of the Saddle Ridge Development will see an almost doubling in the current residential homes and the addition of an elementary school. Full build out is expected to be completed by 2025.
The East Cheyenne Community Open Space was officially opened on July 1st of this year. The City of Cheyenne is currently developing a Master Plan for the development of this park. Both of these developments will reshape the dynamic of this corridor through the introduction of pedestrians and children. It is paramount that this corridor is re-envisioned with them in mind.

| GOAL / RANK | Plan Cheyenne Connect 2045 Goal Statements |
| :---: | :---: |
| MAINTENANCE / 1 | Extend the life of the transportation system and promote fiscal responsibility by emphasizing maintenance |
| over system expansion. |  |

## Preliminary Findings

## Traffic Data

Under the current (2021) traffic volumes, all of the intersections operate at or above an acceptable level. Future traffic volumes for the corridor were projected to 2045 using Cheyenne MPO's Regional Traffic Model, as well as, potential land use changes and redevelopments that may occur in the next 25 years. Under these projected volumes, three locations were identified for concern and are list below:
Grasslands Parkway northbound turning movement onto E Pershing Boulevard

- Whitney Road northbound turning movement onto E Pershing Boulevard
- Christensen Road northbound turning movement onto E Pershing Boulevard


A three-lane road section provides adequate capacity up to and beyond the $\mathbf{2 0 4 5}$ design year for the corridor.

## Recorded Crash Locations

## Core Issue-Speeding and Safety

East Pershing Boulevard currently lacks continuous bicycle and pedestrian facilities for the length of the corridor. Based on recent developments in the vicinity it is crucial that accommodations be provided for the evolving community. The recent opening of the East Cheyenne Community Green Space and its future planned development creates an additional need of providing a safe pedestrian crossing.

Speeds along the corridor appear to be a prevailing issue. The recorded 85 th percentile speeds were found to be consistently 10 mph above the posted limit. The 85th percentile speed benchmark is used in traffic studies as it captures the generally observed flow of traffic while excluding gross offenders.

| Location | Posted Speed (MPH) | Percent Speeding |  | 85th Percentile Speed (MPH) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | EB | WB | EB | WB |
| Taft / Polk <br> Hayes <br> Whitney <br> Fireside <br> Farthing <br> Christensen | 35 | $87.80 \%$ | $70.95 \%$ | 48.75 | 43.27 |
|  | 45 | $86.59 \%$ | $93.35 \%$ | 58.86 | 61.16 |
|  | 45 | $82.74 \%$ | $54.33 \%$ | 58.8 | 51.71 |
|  | 45 | $91.43 \%$ | $73.77 \%$ | 68.6 | 58.66 |
|  | 45 | $64.45 \%$ | $35.61 \%$ | 59.84 | 49.98 |





## Intersection at Whitney Road

The intersection with Whitney Road currently operates acceptably without stop control measures along East Pershing Boulevard. With the expected increased capacity as a result of development, this intersection will eventually fall below an acceptable level of service, requiring the implementation of some form of vehicle control to alleviate demand from Whitney Road. The options available for implementation include:

## - A four way stop

Pro-Cheap. Easy to implement in the short term.
Con- Every vehicle must stop.

- A signalized intersection

Pro-Provides ability to create gaps for secondary street movements.
Con-Expensive to construct and maintain. May increase accidents.

- A Roundabout

Pro-Statistically safest option for drivers and pedestrians. Operates at highest Level of Service.
Con-May require Right of Way acquisition to accommodate full design. Snow removal can be problematic.
All three options will provide a safe at-grade crossing for pedestrians.
Single Lane Roundabout
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## How do you currently relate to the corridor?



## How do your children get to school?



## How often do you drive on East Pershing Boulevard?

| Choice | Responses |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Daily | 17 | $89.47 \%$ |
| Weekly | 2 | $10.53 \%$ |
| Monthly | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Never | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Answered | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |  |
| Skipped | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |



## What sections of East Pershing Boulevard do you use most often?



## How often do you use East Pershing Boulevard as a pedestrian or Bicyclist?



What type of bicycle facility do you prefer?

| Choice | Responses |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Shared Lane | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| On-Street Bike Lane | 2 | $14.29 \%$ |
| Off-Street Bike Path | 12 | $85.71 \%$ |
| Answered | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |
| Skipped | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |



If a transit service was provided along East Pershing Boulevard, would a member of your household use it?

| Rating | Responses |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Yes | 2 | $11.76 \%$ |
| No | 15 | $88.24 \%$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Answered | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |  |
| Skipped | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |



## If a sidewalk was provided along East Pershing, what width would you prefer?

| Choice | Responses |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 6 Ft | 2 | $13.33 \%$ |
| 8 Ft | 10 | $66.67 \%$ |
| 10 Ft | 3 | $20.00 \%$ |
| Answered | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |  |
| Skipped | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |



Y2 CONSULTANTS

Have you experienced or observed any problems along East Pershing Boulevard?


Please describe the problems encountered.
Response Response
Racing Bicycles, People walking at night.

People turning in front of bicycles
Large volume of traffic turning south of taft
Ridiculous traffic
Speeding, Have formally complained with no results.
East bound traffic from 4:45-5:30 PM
I have no problem speeding.
too narrow beyond Christensen.

Bicycles, People walking at night.
uneven pavement
narrow and rough road beyond Christensen
No place to walk safely. Whitney / Pershing is dangerous
People pulling out in front of others. Light cycle at Taft
People driving to slowly
Crashes
Traffic light at Taft routinely gets out of sorts.

Y2 CONSULTANTS

## What improvements do you think are most needed on the East Pershing boulevard corridor?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Responses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Choice <br> Pedestrian accommodations |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 29.41\% |
| Bicycle accommodations |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 11.76\% |
| Speed control |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 17.65\% |
| Intersection enhancements |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 29.41\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 70.59\% |
| Answered |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |
| Skipped |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Other <br> Intersection enhancements Speed control Bicycle accommodations Pedestrian accommodations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ Pedestrian accommodations |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ Bicycle accommodations |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Speed control |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Speed control |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - Intersection enhancements |
|  | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | $\square$ Other |

## Please describe the improvements that you believe are most needed on East Pershing Boulevard.

## Response

Police Enforcement
Widening east of Christensen
Lighting at intersections.
Turn lane at Taft.
2nd east bound lane from US 30 to Taft
Roundabout at Whitney
Local traffic only past Christensen
Shoulder, curb and gutter, and sidewalk
Keep trucks / semis off east pershing
Put up signs
Pavement widening and extend project further east of Christensen
Remove S curve prior to Christensen and add lighting.
Answered 12
Skipped

Given the likely need for traffic control at the intersection of Whitney and E Pershing Boulevard in the future, please provide you feedback on the following possibilities:



Why is a 4-Way Stop not a preferred option?
Response
must be observed
Requires all vehicles to stop.
People will run sign.
E Pershing should have right of way more often than 4 way
Answered ..... 4
Skipped ..... 16

Why is a Traffic Signal not a preferred option?
Response
Requires unnecessary stops
Answered 1

Skipped

Why is a Single-Lane Roundabout not a preferred option?
Response
Roundabout at converse
Slow down flow of traffic. People in this town don't know how to use these for some reason. Narrow and useless. Larger vehicles need more room.
Big trucks cannot fit
Answered 4
Skipped

Y2 CONSULTANTS

Is there anything else that should be considered moving forward with the project?
Response
Pull out areas for mailboxes
Worst part of East Pershing is between Christensen and the Old railroad bridge at
Archer. This part is narrow, very rough and poor quality pavement and has high
traffic. Some pedestrians and bicycles with no sidewalks or shoulders. Likely belongs
to Laramie County but has high traffic and needs to be considered as part of the whole network.
Answered 2
Skipped
18
What age bracket do you fall in?

| Choice | Responses |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10-18$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| $19-25$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| $26-45$ | 4 | $21.05 \%$ |
| $46-65$ | 7 | $36.84 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 8 | $42.11 \%$ |
| Answered | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |  |
| Skipped | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
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## Public Engagement and Survey Feedback

## Initial Survey Findings

- Identified issues of speeding and lack of signage
- Non-motorized users do not feel safe
- Need for Pedestrian accommodations and protected crossings
Saddle Ridge Elementary School
- Issue of speeding, lack of signage, and driver disregard for stop signs
- Desire for larpedes
- Desire for dedicated right turn lanes

Public Meeting Survey Findings

- Preference for wider sidewalk option Openness to roundabout at Whitney
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## Proposed Corridor Treatments

Typical Section per Cheyenne UDC

- Three lane with Shared Left Turn
- Curb and Gutter
- Bike lanes
- Alternating 6' \& 8' width detached sidewalks


## Short Term

- Pedestrian accommodations
- Safety - additional signage \& enforcement
- Four-way stop at Whitney and Pershing

Long Term

- Right of Way Acquisition, Access Management, \& Geometry realignment

Roundabout at Whitney \& E Pershing
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## Introduction



## Background

There has been significant growth in residential and industrial development within the immediate vicinity. This combined with the recent extension of Christensen Road from US 30 to the Campstool Road Interchange have had direct impacts on how those in the community use the East Pershing Boulevard corridor. Based on these changes, it is time to provide a new vision for the future of the corridor that meets the needs of local citizens and the public..

## Goals

This project will undertake a comprehensive review of the current and future traffic demands as well as the needs of non-motorized users along the corridor. The final goal of this project is to develop a conceptual design for the future of East Pershing Boulevard that meets the needs and desires of the community. There is currently no estimated timeline for the final design and implementation of the outcomes of this plan.

## Existing Conditions

East Pershing Boulevard, within the study limits, exists as a three-lane road section with little or no non-motorized accommodations. The rural three lane section stands in contrast to the evolving community to which it serves. The wide open feel of the roadway provides the impression that drivers can drive above the posted speed limit, endangering those that
 walk or bike along the shoulder of the roadway.


## Evolving Community

Recent developments along the corridor that are reshaping the community include the Saddle Ridge Sub division and the East Cheyenne Community Park. Full build out of the Saddle Ridge Development will see an almost doubling in the current residential homes and the addition of an elementary school. Full build out is expected to be completed by 2025.
The East Cheyenne Community Open Space was officially opened on July 1st of this year. The City of Cheyenne is currently developing a Master Plan for the development of this park. Both of these developments will reshape the dynamic of this corridor through the introduction of pedestrians and children. It is paramount that this corridor is re-envisioned with them in mind.

| GOAL / RANK | Plan Cheyenne Connect 2045 Goal Statements |
| :---: | :---: |
| MAINTENANCE / 1 | Extend the life of the transportation system and promote fiscal responsibility by emphasizing maintenance |
| over system expansion. |  |

## Preliminary Findings

## Traffic Data

Under the current (2021) traffic volumes, all of the intersections operate at or above an acceptable level. Future traffic volumes for the corridor were projected to 2045 using Cheyenne MPO's Regional Traffic Model, as well as, potential land use changes and redevelopments that may occur in the next 25 years. Under these projected volumes, three locations were identified for concern and are list below:
Grasslands Parkway northbound turning movement onto E Pershing Boulevard

- Whitney Road northbound turning movement onto E Pershing Boulevard
- Christensen Road northbound turning movement onto E Pershing Boulevard


A three-lane road section provides adequate capacity up to and beyond the $\mathbf{2 0 4 5}$ design year for the corridor.

## Recorded Crash Locations

## Core Issue-Speeding and Safety

East Pershing Boulevard currently lacks continuous bicycle and pedestrian facilities for the length of the corridor. Based on recent developments in the vicinity it is crucial that accommodations be provided for the evolving community. The recent opening of the East Cheyenne Community Green Space and its future planned development creates an additional need of providing a safe pedestrian crossing.

Speeds along the corridor appear to be a prevailing issue. The recorded 85 th percentile speeds were found to be consistently 10 mph above the posted limit. The 85th percentile speed benchmark is used in traffic studies as it captures the generally observed flow of traffic while excluding gross offenders.

| Location | Posted Speed (MPH) | Percent Speeding |  | 85th Percentile Speed (MPH) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | EB | WB | EB | WB |
| Taft / Polk <br> Hayes <br> Whitney <br> Fireside <br> Farthing <br> Christensen | 35 | $87.80 \%$ | $70.95 \%$ | 48.75 | 43.27 |
|  | 45 | $86.59 \%$ | $93.35 \%$ | 58.86 | 61.16 |
|  | 45 | $82.74 \%$ | $54.33 \%$ | 58.8 | 51.71 |
|  | 45 | $91.43 \%$ | $73.77 \%$ | 68.6 | 58.66 |
|  | 45 | $64.45 \%$ | $35.61 \%$ | 59.84 | 49.98 |





## Intersection at Whitney Road

The intersection with Whitney Road currently operates acceptably without stop control measures along East Pershing Boulevard. With the expected increased capacity as a result of development, this intersection will eventually fall below an acceptable level of service, requiring the implementation of some form of vehicle control to alleviate demand from Whitney Road. The options available for implementation include:

## - A four way stop

Pro-Cheap. Easy to implement in the short term.
Con- Every vehicle must stop.

- A signalized intersection

Pro-Provides ability to create gaps for secondary street movements.
Con-Expensive to construct and maintain. May increase accidents.

- A Roundabout

Pro-Statistically safest option for drivers and pedestrians. Operates at highest Level of Service.
Con-May require Right of Way acquisition to accommodate full design. Snow removal can be problematic.
All three options will provide a safe at-grade crossing for pedestrians.
Single Lane Roundabout





## C: SPEED STUDY

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd<br>Location: West of Taft Ave/Polk Ave

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404091. The study was done in the Eastbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 05/24/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 05/25/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 4,781 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 190 on 05/24/2021 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] and a minimum volume of 1 on 05/25/2021 at [01:15 AM-01:30 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 4,781 .

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 40-45 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 42 MPH with $87.80 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of $35 \mathrm{MPH} .4 .85 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 40 MPH and the 85th percentile was 48.75 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 1691 which represents 36 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 2656 which represents 56 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 233 which represents 5 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 125 which represents 3 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1691 | 2147 | 509 | 85 | 90 | 69 | 56 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 05/24/2021 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 4.712 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 05/25/2021 at [01:15 AM-01:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 450 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 50.00 and 115.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report<br>City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd<br>Location: West of Taft Ave/Polk Ave

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404061. The study was done in the Westbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 05/24/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 05/25/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 4,718 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 157 on 05/25/2021 at [07:15 AM-07:30 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 05/25/2021 at [01:15 AM-01:30 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 4,718.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 35-40 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 38 MPH with $70.95 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of $35 \mathrm{MPH} .1 .37 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 35 MPH and the 85th percentile was 43.27 MPH .

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 5 | 31 | 156 | 1162 | 1985 | 969 | 244 | 59 | 22 | 16 | 11 | 5 | 10 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 2890 which represents 62 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 1613 which represents 34 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 108 which represents 2 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 70 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 <br> to <br> 27 | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ \text { to } \\ 31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2890 | 1457 | 156 | 31 | 42 | 57 | 30 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 05/25/2021 at [07:15 AM-07:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 5.696 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 05/25/2021 at [01:15 AM-01:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 50.00 and 115.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd<br>Location: West of Hayes Ave

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404055. The study was done in the Eastbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 05/24/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 05/25/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 2,579 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 104 on 05/24/2021 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 05/25/2021 at [01:15 AM-01:30 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 2,579.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50-55 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 52 MPH with $86.59 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 45 MPH . $26.46 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 50 MPH and the 85th percentile was 58.86 MPH .

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 10 <br> to <br> 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | 40 <br> to <br> 44 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 50 <br> to <br> 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 64 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 70 <br> to <br> 74 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 64 | 253 | 665 | 855 | 374 | 172 | 55 | 27 | 41 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 1001 which represents 40 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 1354 which represents 54 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 108 which represents 4 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 65 which represents 3 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 27 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001 | 1141 | 213 | 37 | 39 | 40 | 22 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 05/24/2021 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 8.571 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 05/25/2021 at [01:15 AM-01:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 50.00 and 109.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd<br>Location: West of Hayes Ave

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404022. The study was done in the Westbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 05/24/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on $05 / 25 / 2021$ at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 2,719 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 100 on 05/25/2021 at [07:15 AM-07:30 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on $05 / 25 / 2021$ at [01:00 AM-01:15 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 2,719 .

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50-55 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 54 MPH with $93.35 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of $45 \mathrm{MPH} .43 .18 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 50 MPH and the 85 th percentile was 61.16 MPH .


CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 574 which represents 21 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 1815 which represents 68 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 211 which represents 8 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 76 which represents 3 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 574 | 1403 | 412 | 80 | 102 | 42 | 20 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 05/25/2021 at [07:15 AM-07:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 8.911 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 05/25/2021 at [01:00 AM-01:15 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 50.00 and 109.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd Location: West of Whitney Rd

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404055. The study was done in the Eastbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 05/26/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 05/27/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 2,394 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 93 on 05/26/2021 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 05/27/2021 at [12:00 AM-12:15 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 2,394.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50-55 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 51 MPH with $82.74 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 45 MPH . $25.72 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 50 MPH and the 85 th percentile was 58.80 MPH .

| $\begin{gathered} \hline< \\ \text { to } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | 75 to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 27 | 78 | 288 | 615 | 726 | 329 | 154 | 56 | 33 | 33 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 950 which represents 40 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 1228 which represents 52 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 115 which represents 5 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 57 which represents 2 percent of the total classified vehicles.


CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 05/26/2021 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 9.574 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 05/27/2021 at [12:00 AM-12:15 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 50.00 and 106.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd Location: West of Whitney Rd

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404061. The study was done in the Westbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 05/26/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 05/27/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 2,493 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 78 on 05/27/2021 at [07:00 AM-07:15 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 05/27/2021 at [12:30 AM-12:45 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 2,493.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 45-50 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 46 MPH with $54.33 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of $45 \mathrm{MPH} .6 .28 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 45 MPH and the 85th percentile was 51.71 MPH .

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | 75 to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 45 | 231 | 844 | 859 | 328 | 87 | 27 | 16 | 9 | 16 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 1480 which represents 60 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 868 which represents 35 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 65 which represents 3 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 57 which represents 2 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 <br> to <br> 27 | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ \text { to } \\ 31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1480 | 791 | 77 | 11 | 29 | 36 | 23 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 05/27/2021 at [07:00 AM-07:15 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 11.392 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 05/27/2021 at [12:30 AM-12:45 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 50.00 and 102.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd<br>Location: West of Fireside Dr

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404022. The study was done in the Eastbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 05/26/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 05/27/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1,453 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 43 on 05/26/2021 at [05:30 PM-05:45 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 05/27/2021 at [12:00 AM-12:15 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 1,453.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50-55 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 57 MPH with $91.43 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of $45 \mathrm{MPH} .55 .31 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 50 MPH and the 85th percentile was 68.60 MPH .

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 10 <br> to <br> 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 40 <br> to <br> 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 60 to <br> 64 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 74 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 22 | 61 | 180 | 296 | 274 | 193 | 89 | 54 | 119 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 345 which represents 26 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 714 which represents 54 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 195 which represents 15 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 64 which represents 5 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ \text { to } \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 345 | 499 | 215 | 62 | 91 | 47 | 20 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 05/26/2021 at [05:30 PM-05:45 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 20.455 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 05/27/2021 at [12:00 AM-12:15 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 52.00 and 106.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd<br>Location: West of Fireside Dr

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404091. The study was done in the Westbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 05/26/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 05/27/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1,452 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 42 on 05/26/2021 at [04:30 PM-04:45 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 05/27/2021 at [12:30 AM-12:45 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 1,452.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the $45-50 \mathrm{MPH}$ range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 50 MPH with $73.77 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 45 MPH . $24.05 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 45 MPH and the 85th percentile was 58.66 MPH .

| < | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to |
| 9 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 29 | 34 | 39 | 44 | 49 | 54 | 59 | 64 | 69 | 74 | > |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 97 | 251 | 367 | 342 | 175 | 79 | 32 | 23 | 34 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 429 which represents 30 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 823 which represents 58 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 118 which represents 8 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 55 which represents 4 percent of the total classified vehicles.


CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 05/26/2021 at [04:30 PM-04:45 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 20.93 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 05/27/2021 at [12:30 AM-12:45 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 50.00 and 104.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd Location: West of Farthing Rd

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404091. The study was done in the Eastbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 06/01/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 06/02/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1,148 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 31 on 06/02/2021 at [06:30 AM-06:45 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 06/01/2021 at [10:00 PM-10:15 PM]. The AADT count for this study was 1,148.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50-55 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 52 MPH with $79.45 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 45 MPH . $28.38 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 50 MPH and the 85 th percentile was 59.84 MPH .

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 10 to <br> 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 40 <br> to <br> 44 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 60 <br> to <br> 64 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { to } \\ 69 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 74 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 62 | 144 | 265 | 309 | 152 | 89 | 38 | 17 | 23 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 292 which represents 26 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 666 which represents 59 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 120 which represents 11 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 43 which represents 4 percent of the total classified vehicles.

| $\begin{aligned} & < \\ & \text { to } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 18 <br> to 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 27 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ \text { to } \\ 31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | 44 <br> to <br> $>$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 292 | 469 | 197 | 32 | 65 | 30 | 15 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 06/02/2021 at [06:30 AM-06:45 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 28.125 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 06/01/2021 at [10:00 PM-10:15 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 54.00 and 113.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd Location: West of Farthing Rd

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404061. The study was done in the Westbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 06/01/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 06/02/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 1,113 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 41 on 06/01/2021 at [05:00 PM-05:15 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 06/01/2021 at [09:15 PM-09:30 PM]. The AADT count for this study was 1,113.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 40-45 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 43 MPH with $35.61 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of $45 \mathrm{MPH} .7 .47 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 40 MPH and the 85th percentile was 49.98 MPH .

| $\begin{gathered} < \\ \text { to } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { to } \\ > \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 103 | 251 | 326 | 227 | 82 | 43 | 11 | 5 | 9 | 14 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 601 which represents 55 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 404 which represents 37 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 48 which represents 4 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 45 which represents 4 percent of the total classified vehicles.


CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 06/01/2021 at [05:00 PM-05:15 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 21.429 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 06/01/2021 at [09:15 PM-09:30 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 52.00 and 113.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd<br>Location: West of Christensen Rd

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404055. The study was done in the Eastbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 06/01/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 06/02/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 838 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 30 on 06/02/2021 at [06:30 AM-06:45 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 06/01/2021 at [10:00 PM-10:15 PM]. The AADT count for this study was 838.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 45-50 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 48 MPH with $64.31 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of $45 \mathrm{MPH} .14 .25 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 45 MPH and the 85th percentile was 54.80 MPH .

| < | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to | to |
| 9 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 29 | 34 | 39 | 44 | 49 | 54 | 59 | 64 | 69 | 74 | > |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 28 | 81 | 175 | 239 | 179 | 81 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 7 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 315 which represents 38 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 447 which represents 54 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 43 which represents 5 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 30 which represents 4 percent of the total classified vehicles.


CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 06/02/2021 at [06:30 AM-06:45 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 29.032 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 06/01/2021 at [10:00 PM-10:15 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 52.00 and 113.00 degrees $F$.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Cheyenne<br>Street: Pershing Blvd<br>Location: West of Christensen Rd

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 404022. The study was done in the Westbound lane at Pershing Blvd in Cheyenne, Wy in Laramie county. The study began on 06/01/2021 at 12:00 PM and concluded on 06/02/2021 at 12:00 PM, lasting a total of 24.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 786 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 32 on 06/01/2021 at [05:00 PM-05:15 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 06/01/2021 at [11:30 PM-11:45 PM]. The AADT count for this study was 786.

## SPEED

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 45-50 MPH range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 50 MPH with $73.51 \%$ vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 45 MPH . $22.35 \%$ percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 55 MPH . The mode speed for this traffic study was 45 MPH and the 85th percentile was 57.65 MPH .

| $\begin{gathered} \ll \\ \text { to } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & \text { to } \\ & > \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 50 | 125 | 215 | 181 | 102 | 39 | 12 | 5 | 15 |

CHART 1

## CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin.
Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Vans \& Pickups. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 179 which represents 23 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Vans \& Pickups in the study was 463 which represents 60 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Busses \& Trucks in the study was 79 which represents 10 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 50 which represents 6 percent of the total classified vehicles.


CHART 2

## HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 06/01/2021 at [05:00 PM-05:15 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 27.273 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 06/01/2021 at [11:30 PM-11:45 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

## WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 54.00 and 109.00 degrees $F$.

## D: HCS OUTPUTS

## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ncy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |
| Date Performed | 8/23/2021 | East/West Street |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Stre |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Periad |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |
| Lanes |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | $T$ | R | U | L | T | R |
| , urity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 452 | 48 |  | 22 | 303 |  |  | 34 |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Grasslands \& E. Pershing |
| cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 23 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Grasslands |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - `ment | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| r.unity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 676 | 49 |  | 25 | 453 |  |  | 34 |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (5ec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Grasslands \& E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ICy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 23 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Grasslands |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | $T$ | R | U | L | T | R |
| C.iority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 676 | 49 |  | 25 | 453 |  |  | 34 |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Foliow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Grasslands \& E. Pershing |
| ncy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 23 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Grasslands |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| -.,ority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 286 | 38 |  | 35 | 823 |  |  | 63 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Grasslands \& E. Pershing |
| Icy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 23 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Grasslands |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - vement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| , .ority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 189 | 38 |  | 35 | 546 |  |  | 63 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Grasslands \& E. Pershing |
| cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 23 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Grasslands |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ ement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| 1..urity | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 286 | 38 |  | 35 | 823 |  |  | 63 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |  |  |  | 0.250 |  | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Grasslands \& E. Pershing |
| cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 23 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Grasslands |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| truority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |  | $\ldots$ | LR | A |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 286 | $43$ |  | 35 | 823 |  |  | 63. |  | $12$ |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  | 35 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Grasslands \& E. Pershing |
| רcy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 23 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Grasslands |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

## Lanes



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vement | U | L | $T$ | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| . .ority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | T | TR |  | L | T |  |  | $\ldots$ | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  |  | 286 | 43 |  | 35 | 823 |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.16 |  |  |  | 6.86 |  | 6.96 |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.33 |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## Intersection: 170 - Pershing \& Taftᄀ9/15/2021 7:13 AM

## Phase Timing Plans

| Phase | 1 | 2 |  | 34 |  |  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | [1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 3 | $3$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $3$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $3$ | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Ped Clear | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Stead <br> y <br> Don't <br> Walk | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Min <br> Green | 5 | 4 | 5 | 54 |  |  | 45 | 54 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Min } \\ \text { Green } \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Passa } \\ \text { ge } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline 3 & 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ |  | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $0$ | $\overline{0}$ |  | $\dot{0}$ |  | $1$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 | $0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Max 1 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $0 \begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 |  | 10 | $0{ }^{3}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Max 2 | 0 | O |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Max 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Condit ional Max | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Yello <br> w <br> Chang <br> e |  | $3 \begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} 3 & 3 \\ \dot{0} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 3 & 3 \\ \dot{0} & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 3 & 3 \\ \dot{2} & 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | 3 | $\dot{0}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 3 \\ \dot{0} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | 3 0 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\text { } \begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 3 0 | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | 3 | 3 | 3 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 0 | 3 | 3 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| Red Clear |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 1 & 1 \\ \dot{0} & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 1 & 1 \\ \dot{0} & \dot{0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 |  |  | $0$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $10$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 0 |  |
| Add Red Clear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Red } \\ \text { Rever } \\ \mathbf{t} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 0 \\ \dot{0} \\ \dot{0} & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \dot{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $0$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Added <br> Initial |  | $0$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & 0 \\ \dot{0} & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline 0 & 0 \\ \dot{0} & \dot{0} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\overline{0}$ |  |  | $0$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | $0$ | 0 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Time Befor e Reduc tion | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cars <br> Befor <br> e <br> Reduc <br> tion | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reduc } \\ \text { e By } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | $0 \begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $0.0$ | $0$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
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HCS 2010 Signalized Intersection Results Summary


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information | G Grigsby | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | Western R\&D, Ltd | Intersection | Wenandy @ E. Pershing |
| ncy/Co. | $8 / 27 / 2021$ | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | 2021 | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | PM Peak | North/South Street | Wenandy |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East Pershing Blvd Plan | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |


| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ vement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| \ .urity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 7 | 265 |  |  |  | 214 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (5ec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd |
| Date Performed | $8 / 27 / 2021$ |
| Analysis Year | 2045 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |

Lanes

## Site Information

| Intersection | Wenandy @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| North/South Street | Wenandy |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |



Major Street East West

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Wenandy @ E. Pershing |
| ncy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 27 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Wenandy |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

## Lanes



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ - vement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Cority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 2 | 133 |  |  |  | 311 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 16 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Wenandy @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ncy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 27 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Wenandy |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


Major Street East West

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | McKinley @ E. Pershing |
| Icy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $8 / 27 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | McKinley |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-vement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| U rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 23 | 285 |  |  |  | 220 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 19 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base C Critcal Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-UP Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{cy} /$ /Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd |
| Date Performed | $8 / 27 / 2021$ |
| Analysis Year | 2045 |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |
| Lanes |  |

Site Information

| Intersection | McKinley @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction |  |
| East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| North/South Street | McKinley |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minvement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | $T$ | R | U | L | T | R |
| , ity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 50 | 477 |  |  |  | 376 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 41 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service
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## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst |
| :--- |
| Date Performed |
| Analysis Year |
| Time Analyzed |
| Intersection Orientation |
| Project Description |

Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ - ement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| m..urity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 6 | 128 |  |  |  | 336 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 38 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby |
| :--- | :--- |
| Icy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd |
| Date Performed | $8 / 27 / 2021$ |
| Analysis Year | 2045 |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |

Lanes


Major Street East West
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service





[^0]
## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Whitney @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Late Performed | $9 / 10 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Whitney Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  | L |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 149 | 308 | 5 |  | 0 | 280 | 12 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 103 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Whitney @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Late Performed | $9 / 10 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Whitney Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Whitney @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ncy/Co. | Western R\&LD, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 10 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Whitney Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alovement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | $T$ | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  | L |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 71 | 148 | 5 |  | 0 | 243 | 1 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 215 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Whitney @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Late Performed | $9 / 10 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Whitney Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Whitney @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ncy/Co. | Western R\&LD, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 10 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Whitney Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alovement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | $T$ | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  | L |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 71 | 148 | 5 |  | 0 | 243 | 1 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 215 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



[^1]
## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Whitney @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Late Performed | $9 / 10 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Whitney Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  | L |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 149 | 308 | 5 |  | 0 | 280 | 12 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 103 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection |  |
| Agency/Co. | Western R \& D, Ltd. | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | 10/20/2021 | East/West Street | East Pershing Blvd |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Whitney Road |
| Time Analyzed | 1.00 | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Anaylysis Time Period (hrs) | AM Peak - 1 Hour |  |  |
| Project Description | New City Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Volume and Adjustments |  |  |  |


| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume | 71 | 148 | 10 | 13 | 243 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 215 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | LTR |  |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, V (veh/h) | 77 | 172 |  | 14 | 270 |  | 24 |  |  | 5 | 242 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |

## Departure Headway and Service Time



Capacity, Delay and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection |  |
| Agency/Co. | Western R \& D, Ltd. | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | 10/20/2021 | East/West Street | East Pershing Blvd |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Whitney Road |
| Time Analyzed | 1.00 | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Anaylysis Time Period (hrs) | PM Peak - 1 Hour |  |  |
| Project Description | New City Park |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volume and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume | 149 | 308 | 14 | 6 | 280 | 12 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 103 |
| \% Thrus in Shared Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 | L 1 | L 2 | L 3 |
| Configuration | L | TR |  | L | TR |  | LTR |  |  | L | TR |  |
| Flow Rate, V (veh/h) | 162 | 350 |  | 7 | 317 |  | 27 |  |  | 4 | 120 |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |

Departure Headway and Service Time


## Capacity, Delay and Level of Service







## HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Tate @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| フcy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Late Performed | $9 / 10 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Tate Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

## Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



## Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critici Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service




| HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | G Grigsby | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Western R\&D, Ltd | Intersection | Fireside @ E. Pershing |
| ncy/Co. | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | Jurisdiction |  |
| Uate Performed | 2021 | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | PM Peak | North/South Street | Fireside Rd |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Intersection Orientation | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  | 0.92 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



Major Street East West

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arvement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | $T$ | R |
| , rity | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 21 | 136 |  |  |  | 141 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Fireside @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Fireside Rd |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


Major Street East. West

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Fireside @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ncy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Late Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Fireside Rd |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | $u$ | L | T | R | $u$ | L | T | R | $u$ | L | T | R |
| srity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 7 | 160 |  |  |  | 236 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 22 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Foster Ave @ E. Pershing |
| ccy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Foster Avenue |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anvement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 27 | 106 | 3 |  | 0 | 120 | 11 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 5 | 0 | 25 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Foster Ave @ E. Pershing |
| 1cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Foster Avenue |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anvement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | $L$ | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| , ity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 37 | 243 | 7 |  | 0 | 272 | 5 |  | 0 | 1 | 5 |  | 2 | 0 | 30 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Foster Ave @ E. Pershing |
| cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | 9/13/2021 | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Foster Avenue |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |
| . |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anvement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 9 | 72 | 0 |  | 0 | 84 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 11 | 1 | 38 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 54 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) | 1493 |  |  |  | 1512 |  |  |  |  |  | 814 |  |  |  | 902 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, $\mathrm{Q}_{95}$ (veh) | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| trol Delay (s/veh) | 7.4 |  |  |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.4 |  |  |  | 9.2 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) |  | 0.8 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 9.4 |  |  |  |  | . 2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  | A |  |


| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Foster Ave @ E. Pershing |
| 7cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Foster Avenue |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mavement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 10 | 161 | 0 |  | 0 | 181 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 3 |  | 4 | 2 | 47 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Huisman Rd @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ncy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Late Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Huisman Road |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments


Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Huisman Rd @ E. Pershing |
| Icy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Huisman Road |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service




## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Farthing Tr @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ICy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Farthing Trail |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes

## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service




| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Farthing Tr @ E. Pershing |
| ccy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2021 | North/South Street | Farthing Trail |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mnvement | $u$ | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | $u$ | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) | 0130 | 33 | 47 | 2 |  | 0 | 47 | 10 |  | 6 | 0 | 1 |  | 15 | 2 | 55 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS2010 Two-Way Stop-Controi Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | G Grigsby | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Western R\&D, Ltd | Intersection | Farthing Tr @ E. Pershing |
| 7cy/Co. | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | Jurisdiction |  |
| Late Performed | 2045 | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | AM Peak | North/South Street | Farthing Trail |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  | 1.00 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anvement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| rity | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 83 | 119 | 4 |  | 0 | 119 | 25 |  | 13 | 0 | 2 |  | 37 | 4 | 140 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Rate, v (veh/h) |  | 90 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  | 196 |  |
| Capacity, c (veh/h) |  | 1416 |  |  |  | 1444 |  |  |  |  | 388 |  |  |  | 757 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.06 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| 95\% Queue Length, Q95 (veh) |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| trol Delay (s/veh) |  | 7.7 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 14.7 |  |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| Level of Service, LOS |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | 3.1 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 14.7 |  |  |  | 11.4 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |


| HCS2010 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | G Grigsby | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Western R\&D, Ltd | Intersection | Dickson Dr @ E. Pershing |
| Icy/Co. | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | 2045 | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | AM Peak | North/South Street | Dickson Drive |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  | 1.00 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ninvement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| - rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 23 | 135 |  |  |  | 123 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  | 28 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Dickson Dr @ E. Pershing |
| Cy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Dickson Drive |
| Time Analyzed | PM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |
| Lanes |  |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M M vement | U | L | T | R | $u$ | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| C.ty | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 17 | 147 |  |  |  | 231 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  | 18 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical and Follow-up Headways |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



HCS 2010 Signalized Intersection Results Summary


HCS 2010 Signalized Intersection Results Summary


## General Information

| Analyst | G Grigsby | Intersection | Christensen @ E. Pershing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| רcy/Co. | Western R\&D, Ltd | Jurisdiction |  |
| Date Performed | $9 / 13 / 2021$ | East/West Street | E. Pershing |
| Analysis Year | 2045 | North/South Street | Christensen Road |
| Time Analyzed | AM Peak | Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 1.00 |
| Project Description | East Pershing Blvd Plan |  |  |

Lanes


Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach |  |  |  |  |  | We | und |  |  | Nor | ound |  |  | Sou | und |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1nvement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | $u$ | L | T | R |
| rity | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | L | T | R |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |  | L |  | TR |
| Volume, V (veh/h) |  | 26 | 9 | 181 |  | 39 | 25 | 3 |  | 207 | 161 | 18 |  | 12 | 277 | 45 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Median Type/Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways



| Analyst |
| :--- |
| 7cy/Co. |
| Date Performed |
| Analysis Year |
| Time Analyzed |
| Intersection Orientation |
| Project Description |


|  | G Grigsby |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Western R\&D, Ltd |
| $9 / 13 / 2021$ |  |
|  | 2045 |
|  | PM Peak |
|  | East-West |

## Lanes



## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## E: DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

Rational Formula Calculations - US $\mathbf{3 0}$ to Dry Creek
Calculation of Peak Runoff using Rational Method


## Rational Formula Calculations - 6221 East Pershing to Christensen Upgrade



Rational Formula Calculations - East of Dry Creek to Low Point (Hess Property)

| Calculation of Peak Runoff using Rational Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline{\text { Computed } t_{c}=t_{i}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}}}^{\text {Regeiona } \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=(26-17 i)+\frac{L_{t}}{60(14 i+9) \sqrt{S_{t}}}} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minimum }}=5$ (urban) <br> $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minimum }}=10$ (non-urban) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chammeiz | İed (taval Fl | ow Tme |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subatamment | $\underset{\substack{\text { Area } \\ \text { (ac) }}}{ }$ | NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group | ${ }_{\text {Percert }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.yr | 5.yr | 10.yr | 25.yr | 50.yr | 100.yr | 500.yr |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { U/S Elevation } \\ \text { (ft) } \\ \text { (Optional) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D/S Elevation } \\ \text { (ft) } \\ \text { (Optional) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overland } \\ \text { Flow Time } \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~min}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { U/S Elevation } \\ \text { (ft) } \\ \text { (Optional) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D/S Elevation } \\ \text { (ft) } \\ \text { (Optional) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Channelized } \\ \text { Flow Slope } \\ \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Channelized } \\ \text { Flow Time } \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{~min}) \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {comen }}^{\substack{\text { computed } \\ \text { temin) }}}$ | $\underbrace{\text { at }}_{\substack{\text { Regional } \\ \text { temin) }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{\text { Solected } \\ \text { tefmin) }}}$ | 2.yr | 5.yr | 10.yr | 25.yr | 50.yr | 100.yr | 500.yr | 2.yr | 5.yr | 10.yr | 25.yr | 50.yr | 100.yr | 50-yr |
| Preaevelomenen | 3.69 | c | 20 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.15 | ${ }^{0.33}$ | 0.40 | 049 | 0.59 | 500.00 | 5961.10 | ${ }^{59577} 7$ | 0.007 | 48.08 | 1150,00 | ${ }^{5957.10}$ | 5948.60 | 0.007 | 7 | 0.60 | 31.85 | ${ }^{79.93}$ | 49.68 | 49.68 | 0.81 | 1.26 | 1.60 | 2.14 | 261 | 3.12 | 3.60 | ${ }_{0}^{0.03}$ | 0.24 | 0.87 | ${ }^{261}$ | 3.88 | 5,66 | 7.89 |
| Posit | 0.88 | c | 100.0 | 0.83 | 0.85 | ${ }_{0} .87$ | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 300.00 | ${ }_{5960} 9$ | ${ }_{5} 59929$ | 0.005 | ${ }_{9} 93$ | ${ }^{125000}$ | ${ }^{595900}$ | ${ }_{595275}$ | 0.005 | 15 | 1.06 | 19.94 | 22.47 | 21.81 | ${ }^{21.81}$ | ${ }_{1.33}$ | 2.07 | 263 | 3.51 | 4.28 | 5.11 | 5.90 | 0.98 | ${ }_{1}^{1.55}$ | 2.01 | 2 | ${ }^{3.34}$ | 4.02 | 4.69 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | ${ }^{3000}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{9.25}$ | ${ }^{123000}$ | ${ }_{595500}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{26.90}$ | ${ }^{2051}$ | ${ }^{20.51}$ | ${ }_{1}{ }^{138}$ | 2.14 | 2.72 | 3.63 | ${ }_{4}^{4.4}$ | 5.28 | 6.10 | 1.01 | ${ }^{1.60}$ | 2.07 | ${ }^{281}$ | ${ }^{3.46}$ | 4.15 | ${ }_{4}^{4.84}$ |
|  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rational Formula Calculations - Low Point (Hess Property) to 6221 East Pershing

| Calculation of Peak Runoff using Rational Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Computed $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{t}_{i}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}}$ <br> Regional $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=(26-171)^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{60(14+9) \sqrt{s_{t}}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{t}_{\text {minimum }}=5 \text { (urban) } \\ \mathrm{t}_{\text {minimum }}=10 \text { (non-urban) } \end{array} \\ \hline \hline \text { Selected } \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=\max \left\{\mathrm{t}_{\text {minimum }}, \min \left(\text { Computed } \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}, \text { Regional } \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right\} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subatamment | $\underset{\substack{\text { Area } \\ \text { (ac) }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NRCS } \\ \text { Hydrologic } \\ \text { Soil Group } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {Percert }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.yr | 5.yr | 10.yr | 25.yr | 50.yr | 100.yr | 500.yr |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { D/S Elevation } \\ \text { (ft) } \\ \text { (Optional) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Overland Flow Time $\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{min})$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { U/S Elevation } \\ \text { (ft) } \\ \text { (Optional) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D/S Elevation } \\ \text { (ft) } \\ \text { (Optional) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Channelized } \\ \text { Flow Slope } \\ \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { NRCS } \\ \text { Conveyance } \\ \text { Factor K } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Channelized } \\ & \text { Flow Time } \\ & t_{t}(\min ) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underbrace{\text { ater }}_{\substack{\text { Regional } \\ \text { temin) }}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{\text { Selected } \\ \text { temin) }}}$ | 2.yr | 5.yr | 10.yr | 25.yr | 50.yr | 100.yr | 500.yr | 2.yr | 5.yr | 10.yr | 25.yr | 50.yr | 100.yr | 50-yr |
| Pre.everopomen | 283 | в | 2.0 | ${ }_{0} 0.01$ | 0.01 | 0.07 | ${ }^{0.26}$ | 0.34 | 0.4 | ${ }_{0}^{0.54}$ | 50.00 | ${ }_{5954,15}$ | ${ }_{595283}$ | 0.003 | ${ }^{68.16}$ | 920.00 | ${ }_{595283}$ | ${ }_{595026}$ | 0.003 | 7 | ${ }^{0.37}$ | 41.44 | 10960 | 56.92 | 56.92 | 0.74 | 1.15 | 1.47 | 1.96 | ${ }^{239}$ | ${ }^{285}$ | 329 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 1.45 | 229 | 3.51 | 5.05 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { Pa }}}^{\text {Posit }}$ | 0.79 | в | 100.0 | . 84 | ${ }_{0}^{0.86}$ | ${ }^{0.86}$ | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 300.00 | ${ }_{595252}$ | ${ }_{595202}$ | 0.002 | ${ }^{13.69}$ | ${ }^{800.00}$ | ${ }_{595202}$ | ${ }^{5950.42}$ | 0.002 | ${ }^{20}$ | 0.89 | 14.91 | 22.60 | 21.96 | ${ }^{21.96}$ | ${ }^{1.33}$ | 206 | 2.62 | 3.50 | 4.27 | 5.09 | ${ }_{5}^{588}$ | 0.88 | ${ }_{1} 1.39$ | 1.79 | 242 | 299 | 3.58 | 4.19 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.84 | 0.96 | 0.86 | ${ }_{0} 0.88$ | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | ${ }^{30000}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{12.92}$ | 80000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{27.83}$ | 196 | ${ }^{21.96}$ | ${ }^{1.33}$ | 206 | 2.82 | 3.50 | ${ }^{4.27}$ | 5.09 | 5.88 | 0.88 | ${ }^{1.39}$ | 1.79 | ${ }^{242}$ | 2.99 | ${ }^{3.58}$ | 4.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Watershed Information
Flood Control Only

| Selected BMP Type $=$ | No BMP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Watershed Area $=$ | 3.69 | acres |
| Watershed Length $=$ | 1,650 | ft |
| Watershed Length to Centroid = | 825 | ft |
| Watershed Slope $=$ | 0.007 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Watershed Imperviousness $=$ | 2.00\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A $=$ | 0.0\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B $=$ | 0.0\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D $=$ | 100.0\% | percent |
| Target WQCV Drain Time $=$ |  | hours |
| Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = | Input |  |

Note: L/ W Ratio > 8
L / W Ratio = 16.94

| Optional User Overrides |
| :--- |
|  acre-feet <br>  acre-feet <br> 0.71 inches |
| 1.10 | inches

Project: East Pershing Corridor Study
Basin ID: Post-Development Conditions, West of Dry Creek, North Side

| Watershed Information | Flood Con | ol Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected BMP Type = | No BMP |  |
| Watershed Area = | 1.87 | acre |
| Watershed Length = | 1,550 | ft |
| Watershed Length to Centroid = | 775 | ft |
| Watershed Slope = | 0.013 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Watershed Imperviousness = | 63.00\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A = | 0.0\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B = | 0.0\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D $=$ | 100.0\% | percent |
| Target WQCV Drain Time = | N/A | hours |
| Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths | Input |  |

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

| Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) $=$ | 0.038 | acre-feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) $=$ | 0.114 | acre-feet |
| 2-yr Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=0.71 \mathrm{in}$. $)=$ | 0.062 | eet |
| $5-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.1 \mathrm{in}.)=$ | 0.110 | acre-feet |
| 10-yr Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.4 \mathrm{in}$. $)=$ | 0.155 |  |
| $25-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.87 \mathrm{in}$. | 0.236 |  |
| 50-yr Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2.28 \mathrm{in}$. $)=$ | 0.303 |  |
| 100-yr Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2.72$ | 0.380 |  |
| $500-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=3.14 \mathrm{in}$. $)=$ | 0.450 |  |
| Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.061 |  |
| Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.106 |  |
| Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.132 |  |
| Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.165 |  |
| proximate 50-yr Detention Volume = | 0.184 |  |
| Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.215 | -feet |

Note: L / W Ratio > 8
L / W Ratio = 29.49

Optional User Overrides

|  | acre-feet |
| :---: | :--- |
| acre-feet |  |

Watershed Information
Flood Control Only

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected BMP Type = | No BMP |  |
| Watershed Area $=$ | 1.82 | acres |
| Watershed Length = | 1,530 | ft |
| Watershed Length to Centroid = | 765 | ft |
| Watershed Slope = | 0.014 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Watershed Imperviousness = | 63.00\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group $\mathrm{A}=$ | 0.0\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B = | 0.0\% | percent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D = | 100.0\% | percent |
| Target WQCV Drain Time = | N/A | hours |
| Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = | ser Input |  |

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

| Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) $=$ | 0.037 | acre-feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) $=$ | 0.110 | eet |
| $2-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=0.71 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ | 0.061 | eet |
| $5-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.1 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ | 0.107 | eet |
| 10-yr Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.4 \mathrm{in}$. $)=$ | 0.151 | eet |
| $25-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.87 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ | 0.229 | eet |
| $50-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2.28 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ | 0.295 | eet |
| $100-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2.72 \mathrm{in}$.) = | 0.370 | e-feet |
| $500-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=3.14 \mathrm{in}$.) = | 0.438 | feet |
| Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.059 | feet |
| Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.103 | eet |
| Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.128 | feet |
| Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.160 | feet |
| Approximate $50-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ | 0.179 | eet |
| Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume = | 0.209 | acre-feet |

Note: L / W Ratio > 8
L / W Ratio = 29.53

Optional User Overrides

|  | acre-feet |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | acre-feet |
| 0.71 | inches |
| 1.10 | inches |
| 1.40 | inches |
| 1.87 | inches |
| 2.28 | inches |
| 2.72 | inches |
| 3.14 | inches |

## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: Culvert under Grasslands Parkway

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Design Information (Input) <br> Pipe Invert Slope <br> Pipe Manning's n-value <br> Pipe Diameter <br> Design discharge | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ft/ft } \\ & \text { inches } \\ & \text { cfs } \end{aligned}$ |
| Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated) <br> Full-flow area Full-flow wetted perimeter Half Central Angle Full-flow capacity | sq ft <br> ft <br> radians cfs |
| Calculation of Normal Flow Condition |  |
| Half Central Angle ( $0<$ Theta<3.14) <br> Flow area <br> Top width <br> Wetted perimeter <br> Flow depth <br> Flow velocity <br> Discharge <br> Percent of Full Flow <br> Normal Depth Froude Number | radians <br> sq ft <br> ft <br> ft <br> ft <br> fps <br> cfs <br> of full flow <br> supercritical |
| Calculation of Critical Flow Condition Half Central Angle ( $0<$ Theta-c<3.14) Critical flow area Critical top width Critical flow depth Critical flow velocity Critical Depth Froude Number | radians sq ft ft ft fps |

## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: Culvert from Cheyenne Ranch Detention


## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: Culvert from Pershing Pointe Detention


## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Corridor Study
Pipe ID: RCP running West to East under Taft Ave

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Design Information (Input) <br> Pipe Invert Slope <br> Pipe Manning's n-value <br> Pipe Diameter <br> Design discharge | fi/ft |
| Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated) <br> Full-flow area <br> Full-flow wetted perimeter <br> Half Central Angle <br> Full-flow capacity | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} \\ & \mathrm{ft} \\ & \text { radians } \\ & \mathrm{cfs} \end{aligned}$ |
| Calculation of Normal Flow Condition |  |
| Half Central Angle ( $0<T h e t a<3.14$ ) <br> Flow area <br> Top width <br> Wetted perimeter <br> Flow depth <br> Flow velocity <br> Discharge <br> Percent of Full Flow <br> Normal Depth Froude Number | ```radians sq ft ft ft ft fps cfs of full flow supercritical``` |
| Calculation of Critical Flow Condition |  |
| Half Central Angle ( $0<$ Theta-c<3.14) <br> Critical flow area <br> Critical top width <br> Critical flow depth <br> Critical flow velocity <br> Critical Depth Froude Number | radians sq ft ft ft fps |

## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: Culvert outlet into Dry Creek, from West, south Side of Creek


| Design Information (Input) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Invert Slope | So = | 0.0018 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Pipe Manning's n-value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.0130 |  |
| Pipe Diameter | $\mathrm{D}=$ | 24.00 | inches |
| Design discharge | $\mathrm{Q}=$ | 5.00 | cfs |
| Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated) |  |  |  |
| Full-flow area | $\mathrm{Af}=$ | 3.14 | sq ft |
| Full-flow wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pf}=$ | 6.28 | ft |
| Half Central Angle | Theta $=$ | 3.14 | radians |
| Full-flow capacity | Qf $=$ | 9.49 | cfs |
| Calculation of Normal Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta<3.14) | Theta $=$ | 1.60 | radians |
| Flow area | $\mathrm{An}=$ | 1.63 | sq ft |
| Top width | $\mathrm{Tn}=$ | 2.00 | ft |
| Wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pn}=$ | 3.20 | $f$ |
| Flow depth | $\mathrm{Yn}=$ | 1.03 | ft |
| Flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vn}=$ | 3.06 | $f p s$ |
| Discharge | Qn = | 5.00 | cfs |
| Percent of Full Flow | Flow $=$ | 52.7\% | of full flow |
| Normal Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 0.60 | subcritical |
| Calculation of Critical Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta-c<3.14) | Theta- $\mathrm{c}=$ | 1.36 | radians |
| Critical flow area | Ac = | 1.15 | sq ft |
| Critical top width | $\mathrm{Tc}=$ | 1.95 | ft |
| Critical flow depth | $\mathrm{Yc}=$ | 0.79 | ft |
| Critical flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vc}=$ | 4.35 | $f p s$ |
| Critical Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 1.00 |  |

## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: North to South Culverts, East of Dry Creek


## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: Three RCP Culverts under Road, 5320 East Pershing


| Design Information (Input) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Invert Slope | So $=$ | 0.0279 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Pipe Manning's n-value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.0130 |  |
| Pipe Diameter | D = | 30.00 | inches |
| Design discharge | Q = | 10.00 | cfs |
| Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated) |  |  |  |
| Full-flow area | Af $=$ | 4.91 | sq ft |
| Full-flow wetted perimeter | Pf $=$ | 7.85 | ft |
| Half Central Angle | Theta $=$ | 3.14 | radians |
| Full-flow capacity | Qf $=$ | 68.70 | cfs |
| Calculation of Normal Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta<3.14) | Theta $=$ | 1.07 | radians |
| Flow area | An = | 1.00 | sq ft |
| Top width | $\mathrm{Tn}=$ | 2.19 | ft |
| Wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pn}=$ | 2.66 | ft |
| Flow depth | $\mathrm{Yn}=$ | 0.64 | ft |
| Flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vn}=$ | 9.98 | fps |
| Discharge | Qn = | 10.00 | cfs |
| Percent of Full Flow | Flow $=$ | 14.6\% | of full flow |
| Normal Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 2.60 | supercritical |
| Calculation of Critical Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle ( $0<$ Theta-c<3.14) | Theta-c = | 1.42 | radians |
| Critical flow area | $\mathrm{Ac}=$ | 1.97 | sq ft |
| Critical top width | Tc = | 2.47 | ft |
| Critical flow depth | $\mathrm{Yc}=$ | 1.06 | ft |
| Critical flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vc}=$ | 5.07 | fps |
| Critical Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 1.00 |  |

## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: RCP Culvert on the West side of Wenandy


## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: Culvert Under Pershing, East Side of Wenandy


## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: Culvert Under Pershing, East Side of McKinley


| Design Information (Input) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Invert Slope | So = | 0.0087 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Pipe Manning's n-value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.0130 |  |
| Pipe Diameter | $\mathrm{D}=$ | 24.00 | inches |
| Design discharge | $\mathrm{Q}=$ | 10.00 | cfs |
| Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated) |  |  |  |
| Full-flow area | $\mathrm{Af}=$ | 3.14 | sq ft |
| Full-flow wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pf}=$ | 6.28 | ft |
| Half Central Angle | Theta $=$ | 3.14 | radians |
| Full-flow capacity | Qf $=$ | 21.16 | cfs |
| Calculation of Normal Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta<3.14) | Theta $=$ | 1.54 | radians |
| Flow area | $\mathrm{An}=$ | 1.51 | sq ft |
| Top width | $\mathrm{Tn}=$ | 2.00 | ft |
| Wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pn}=$ | 3.08 | ft |
| Flow depth | $\mathrm{Yn}=$ | 0.97 | ft |
| Flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vn}=$ | 6.64 | $f p s$ |
| Discharge | Qn = | 10.00 | cfs |
| Percent of Full Flow | Flow $=$ | 47.3\% | of full flow |
| Normal Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 1.35 | supercritical |
| Calculation of Critical Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta-c<3.14) | Theta- $\mathrm{c}=$ | 1.70 | radians |
| Critical flow area | Ac = | 1.83 | sq ft |
| Critical top width | $\mathrm{Tc}=$ | 1.98 | ft |
| Critical flow depth | $\mathrm{Yc}=$ | 1.13 | ft |
| Critical flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vc}=$ | 5.46 | $f p s$ |
| Critical Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 1.00 |  |

## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: Culverts 300 feet West of Whitney, Elliptical


| Design Information (Input) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Invert Slope | So $=$ | 0.0017 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Pipe Manning's n-value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.0130 |  |
| Pipe Diameter | $\mathrm{D}=$ | 48.00 | inches |
| Design discharge | $\mathrm{Q}=$ | 5.00 | cfs |
| Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated) |  |  |  |
| Full-flow area | Af $=$ | 12.57 | sq ft |
| Full-flow wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pf}=$ | 12.57 | ft |
| Half Central Angle | Theta $=$ | 3.14 | radians |
| Full-flow capacity | Qf $=$ | 59.39 | cfs |
| Calculation of Normal Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta<3.14) | Theta $=$ | 0.92 | radians |
| Flow area | An $=$ | 1.74 | sq ft |
| Top width | Tn $=$ | 3.18 | ft |
| Wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pn}=$ | 3.67 | ft |
| Flow depth | $\mathrm{Yn}=$ | 0.78 | ft |
| Flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vn}=$ | 2.87 | fps |
| Discharge | Qn = | 5.00 | cfs |
| Percent of Full Flow | Flow $=$ | 8.4\% | of full flow |
| Normal Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 0.68 | subcritical |
| Calculation of Critical Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta-c<3.14) | Theta-c = | 0.83 | radians |
| Critical flow area | $\mathrm{Ac}=$ | 1.32 | sq ft |
| Critical top width | Tc = | 2.95 | ft |
| Critical flow depth | Yc $=$ | 0.65 | ft |
| Critical flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vc}=$ | 3.80 | fps |
| Critical Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 1.00 |  |

## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)
Project: East Pershing Planning Study
Pipe ID: RCP Culverts under Whitney/Pershing Intersection


| Design Information (Input) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Invert Slope | So = | 0.0105 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Pipe Manning's n-value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.0130 |  |
| Pipe Diameter | $\mathrm{D}=$ | 48.00 | inches |
| Design discharge | $\mathrm{Q}=$ | 5.00 | cfs |
| Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated) |  |  |  |
| Full-flow area | $\mathrm{Af}=$ | 12.57 | sq ft |
| Full-flow wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pf}=$ | 12.57 | ft |
| Half Central Angle | Theta $=$ | 3.14 | radians |
| Full-flow capacity | Qf $=$ | 147.59 | cfs |
| Calculation of Normal Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta<3.14) | Theta $=$ | 0.73 | radians |
| Flow area | $\mathrm{An}=$ | 0.92 | sq ft |
| Top width | $\mathrm{Tn}=$ | 2.66 | ft |
| Wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pn}=$ | 2.90 | ft |
| Flow depth | $\mathrm{Yn}=$ | 0.50 | ft |
| Flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vn}=$ | 5.45 | $f p s$ |
| Discharge | Qn = | 5.00 | cfs |
| Percent of Full Flow | Flow $=$ | 3.4\% | of full flow |
| Normal Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 1.63 | supercritical |
| Calculation of Critical Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta-c<3.14) | Theta- $\mathrm{c}=$ | 0.83 | radians |
| Critical flow area | Ac = | 1.32 | sq ft |
| Critical top width | $\mathrm{Tc}=$ | 2.95 | ft |
| Critical flow depth | $\mathrm{Yc}=$ | 0.65 | ft |
| Critical flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vc}=$ | 3.80 | $f p s$ |
| Critical Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 1.00 |  |

## Open Ditch Calculations West of Dry Creek



Open Ditch Calculations West of Dry Creek

South Ditch along Pershing Poin
Section 1



$=\begin{gathered}7056 \mathrm{tt3} \\ 0.549828 \mathrm{acre-ft}\end{gathered}$

South Ditch - Grasslands Parkway to Pershing Pointe
street
Section 1 (Sections go from west to east) Sta 1007+25 Section 2 - matches section 1 above, Sta 1011+50


| Section 2 - matches section 1 above, S |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{Q}=\begin{array}{c}476.1 \text { Flow Rate, CFS }\end{array}$ |

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Slopen }= \\ & \text { Top Width } \\ & \text { Height }=\end{aligned}$ 0.014118
$z=2.444444$ width of channel slope (for a rise of 1 ft$)$
rh= $\quad 2.08$ Hydralic Radius (ft)
$n=\quad \begin{array}{ll}\text { 23.8 Wetted perimeter (ft) } \\ \mathrm{n}= & 0.027 \text { Manning's nvalue }\end{array}$
49.5 Flow Area (tt2)
$\begin{gathered}\text { Slope= } \\ \text { Top Width }\end{gathered}$$\quad 0.01$
Top Wiath
Height
$=\quad{ }_{3.5}^{26}$
$z=3.714286$ width of channel slope (for arise of 1 ft$)$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { rh= } & \begin{array}{l}1.69 \\ \text { Pw } \\ \text { Hydraulic Radius (ft) } \\ \text { 26.9 wetted perimeter (ft) }\end{array}\end{array}$
$\mathrm{n}=\quad 0.027$ Manning's n value
$\begin{gathered}\text { Length } \\ \text { Volume }=\end{gathered}$ $\begin{gathered}444 \mathrm{ft} \\ 21090 \mathrm{ft3}\end{gathered}$
0.48416 acre-

# Road Capacity Calculations and Inlet/Curb Cut Placement 



Figure 7-1. Gutter section with uniform cross slope

For a triangular cross section as shown in Figure 7-1, Manning's equation for gutter flow is written as:

$$
Q=\frac{1.8}{n} A R^{2 / 3} S_{o}^{1 / 2}=\frac{0.56}{n} S_{x}^{5 / 3} S_{o}^{1 / 2} T^{8 / 3}
$$

Where:
$Q=$ calculated flow rate for the half-street (cfs)
$n=$ Manning's roughness coefficient ( 0.016 for asphalt street with concrete gutter, 0.013 for concrete street and gutter)
$R=$ hydraulic radius of wetted cross section $=A / P(\mathrm{ft})$
$A=$ cross-sectional area $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$
$P=$ wetted perimeter of cross section (ft)
$S_{x}=$ street cross slope ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ )
$S_{o}=$ longitudinal slope ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ )
$T=$ top width of flow spread (ft).
The flow depth can be found using:

$$
y=T S_{x}
$$

Equation 7-2
Where:
$y=$ flow depth at the gutter flowline ( ft ).

Note that the flow depth generally should not exceed the curb height during the minor storm based on Table 7-2. Manning's equation can be written in terms of the flow depth, as:

$$
Q=\frac{0.56}{n S_{x}} S_{L}^{1 / 2} y^{8 / 3}
$$

The cross-sectional flow area, $A$, can be expressed as:

$$
A=\frac{S_{x} T^{2}}{2}
$$

The gutter velosity at peak capacity may be found from continuity $\left(V^{\prime}=Q / A\right)$.

Road Capacity Calculations and Inlet/Curb Cut Placement


## Curb Cut Capacity Checks



## Inlet Capacity Checks



F: COST ESTIMATES

East Pershing Boulevard - US 30 to Taft / Polk Avenue

Length LF 1775

| Item | Description | Unit | Estimated Quantity | Unit Price | Total Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201.03201 | CLEARING AND GRUBBING | ACRE | 2 | \$12,077.29 | \$23,622.25 |
| 202.03260 | REMOVAL OF PIPE | FT | 500 | \$19.57 | \$9,785.00 |
| 202.03305 | MILLING PLANT MIX | SY | 10257 | \$1.21 | \$12,411.35 |
| 202.03400 | REMOVAL OF SURFACING | SY | 1578 | \$19.46 | \$30,703.56 |
| 202.03430 | REMOVAL OF SIDEWALK | SY | 267 | \$17.11 | \$4,562.67 |
| 202.03600 | CUTTING BIT PVMT | FT | 4001 | \$2.25 | \$9,002.54 |
| 202.03500 | RESET MAILBOX (SINGLE) | EA | 5 | \$390.17 | \$1,950.85 |
| 203.02500 | UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION | CY | 997 | \$12.30 | \$12,259.33 |
| 207.03100 | TOPSOIL STORING | CY | 750 | \$3.20 | \$2,400.00 |
| 207.03200 | TOPSOIL PLACING | CY | 750 | \$3.60 | \$2,700.00 |
| 216.03105 | SEEDING | SY | 4500 | \$0.81 | \$3,645.00 |
| 301.01010 | PIT RUN SUBBASE | CY | 223 | \$22.31 | \$4,976.93 |
| 301.01085 | CRUSHED BASE | CY | 604 | \$47.73 | \$28,852.20 |
| 401.02000 | HOT PLANT MIX | TON | 1892 | \$51.31 | \$97,056.18 |
| 401.02055 | HOT PLANT MIX APPROACHES | TON | 27 | \$98.52 | \$2,699.58 |
| 407.01000 | TACK COAT | TON | 6 | \$598.71 | \$3,838.22 |
| 414.01050 | CONCRETE PVMT (10 in) | SY | 291 | \$75.00 | \$21,805.17 |
| 603.20024 | RCP 24 in | FT | 634 | \$111.44 | \$70,652.96 |
| 603.20030 | RCP 30 in | FT | 240 | \$121.15 | \$29,076.00 |
| 608.10200 | SIDEWALK (CONC) | SY | 2387 | \$60.97 | \$145,531.33 |
| 609.10200 | CURB AND GUTTER TYPE A | FT | 3627 | \$44.49 | \$161,378.58 |
| 701.17120 | CONDUIT-RIGID PVC 2 in | FT | 3550 | \$10.04 | \$35,642.00 |
| 701.20100 | PULL BOX TYPE A | EA | 18 | \$616.22 | \$11,091.96 |
| 701.21100 | SERVICE POINT LIGHTING | EA | 1 | \$6,060.00 | \$6,060.00 |
| 701.29070 | SINGLE CONDUCTOR WIRE \#8 AWG | FT | 3550 | \$1.37 | \$4,863.50 |
| 701.62100 | ROADWAY LUMINAIRE | EA | 18 | \$1,011.33 | \$18,203.94 |
| 799.60300 | PAVEMENT LINE 4 in | FT | 9198 | \$0.16 | \$1,471.68 |
| 799.70105 | THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKINGS | SF | 1368 | \$29.09 | \$39,795.12 |
|  | \#N/A | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |


| Subtotal |  | \$796,037.89 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Design | $12.00 \%$ | $\$ 95,524.55$ |
| Mobilization | $10.00 \%$ | $\$ 79,603.79$ |
| Traffic Control | $15.00 \%$ | $\$ 119,405.68$ |
| Construction Engineering | $10.00 \%$ | $\$ 79,603.79$ |
| Force account | $5.00 \%$ | $\$ 39,801.89$ |
| Subtotal |  | $\$ 1,209,977.59$ |
| Contingency | $15.00 \%$ | $\$ 181,496.64$ |
| Total |  | $\$ \mathbf{1 , 3 9 1 , 4 7 4 . 2 2}$ |

## East Pershing Boulevard - Taft / Polk Avenue to Hayes Avenue

| Length LF |  |  | 1950 |  | Total Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Description | Unit | Estimated Quantity | Unit Price |  |
| 201.03201 | CLEARING AND GRUBBING | ACRE | 2 | \$12,077.29 | \$23,289.54 |
| 202.03170 | REMOVAL OF GUARDRAIL | FT | 400 | \$2.37 | \$948.00 |
| 202.03260 | REMOVAL OF PIPE | FT | 125 | \$19.57 | \$2,446.25 |
| 202.03305 | MILLING PLANT MIX | SY | 9653 | \$1.21 | \$11,679.71 |
| 202.03400 | REMOVAL OF SURFACING | SY | 1733 | \$19.46 | \$33,730.67 |
| 202.03430 | REMOVAL OF SIDEWALK | SY | 222 | \$17.11 | \$3,802.22 |
| 202.03600 | CUTTING BIT PVMT | FT | 3847 | \$2.25 | \$8,655.46 |
| 202.03500 | RESET MAILBOX (SINGLE) | EA | 1 | \$390.17 | \$390.17 |
| 203.02500 | UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION | CY | 1445 | \$12.30 | \$17,768.42 |
| 207.03100 | TOPSOIL STORING | CY | 820 | \$3.20 | \$2,625.54 |
| 207.03200 | TOPSOIL PLACING | CY | 820 | \$3.60 | \$2,953.73 |
| 216.03105 | SEEDING | SY | 4920 | \$0.81 | \$3,985.20 |
| 301.01085 | CRUSHED BASE | CY | 548 | \$47.73 | \$26,162.65 |
| 401.02000 | HOT PLANT MIX | TON | 1575 | \$51.31 | \$80,792.19 |
| 407.01000 | TACK COAT | TON | 6 | \$598.71 | \$3,611.96 |
| 414.01050 | CONCRETE PVMT (10 in) | SY | 72 | \$75.00 | \$5,390.50 |
| 603.20024 | RCP 24 in | FT | 285 | \$111.44 | \$31,796.06 |
| 606.01020 | MGS GUARDRAIL | FT | 200 | \$33.93 | \$6,786.00 |
| 608.10200 | SIDEWALK (CONC) | SY | 3255 | \$60.97 | \$198,465.28 |
| 609.10200 | CURB AND GUTTER TYPE A | FT | 3847 | \$44.49 | \$171,146.80 |
| 701.17120 | CONDUIT-RIGID PVC 2 in | FT | 3900 | \$10.04 | \$39,156.00 |
| 701.20100 | PULL BOX TYPE A | EA | 20 | \$616.22 | \$12,324.40 |
| 701.21100 | SERVICE POINT LIGHTING | EA | 1 | \$6,060.00 | \$6,060.00 |
| 701.29070 | SINGLE CONDUCTOR WIRE \#8 AWG | FT | 3900 | \$1.37 | \$5,343.00 |
| 701.62100 | ROADWAY LUMINAIRE | EA | 20 | \$1,011.33 | \$20,226.60 |
| 799.60300 | PAVEMENT LINE 4 in | FT | 10965 | \$0.16 | \$1,754.33 |
| 799.70105 | THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKINGS | SF | 200 | \$29.09 | \$5,818.00 |
|  | BRIDGE WIDENING | LS | 1 | \$450,000.00 | \$450,000.00 |
|  | \#N/A | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  | \#N/A | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  | \#N/A | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  | \#N/A | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  | \#N/A | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$1,177,108.69 |
| Design 12.00\% |  |  |  |  | \$141,253.04 |
| Mobilization 10.00\% |  |  |  |  | \$117,710.87 |
| Traffic Control |  |  |  | 15.00\% | \$176,566.30 |
| Construction Engineering |  |  |  | 10.00\% | \$117,710.87 |
| Force account |  |  |  | 5.00\% | \$58,855.43 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$1,789,205.21 |
| Contingency $\quad 15.00 \%$Total |  |  |  |  | \$268,380.78 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$2,057,585.99 |

East Pershing Boulevard - Hayes Avenure to Fireside Drive

| Length LF |  |  | 3900 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Description | Unit | Estimated Quantity | Unit Price | Total Price |
| 201.03201 | CLEARING AND GRUBBING | ACRE | 4 | \$12,077.29 | \$51,902.40 |
| 202.03260 | REMOVAL OF PIPE | FT | 550 | \$19.57 | \$10,763.50 |
| 202.03261 | ABANDON PIPE IN PLACE-FLOWABLE FILL | FT | 595 | \$30.00 | \$17,850.00 |
| 202.03305 | MILLING PLANT MIX | SY | 17490 | \$1.21 | \$21,163.18 |
| 202.03400 | REMOVAL OF SURFACING | SY | 3467 | \$19.46 | \$67,461.33 |
| 202.03430 | REMOVAL OF SIDEWALK | SY | 100 | \$17.11 | \$1,711.00 |
| 202.03600 | CUTTING BIT PVMT | FT | 7041 | \$2.25 | \$15,842.90 |
| 202.03500 | RESET MAILBOX (SINGLE) | EA | 11 | \$390.17 | \$4,291.87 |
| 203.02500 | UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION | CY | 1129 | \$12.30 | \$13,888.10 |
| 207.03100 | TOPSOIL STORING | CY | 841 | \$3.20 | \$2,691.70 |
| 207.03200 | TOPSOIL PLACING | CY | 841 | \$3.60 | \$3,028.16 |
| 216.03105 | SEEDING | SY | 5047 | \$0.81 | \$4,088.02 |
| 301.01010 | PIT RUN SUBBASE | CY | 3165 | \$22.31 | \$70,619.71 |
| 301.01085 | CRUSHED BASE | CY | 2054 | \$47.73 | \$98,039.25 |
| 401.02000 | HOT PLANT MIX | TON | 1549 | \$51.31 | \$79,482.25 |
| 407.01000 | TACK COAT | TON | 14 | \$598.71 | \$8,321.43 |
| 414.01050 | CONCRETE PVMT (10 in) | SY | 649 | \$75.00 | \$48,704.17 |
| 603.20012 | RCP 12 in | FT | 583 | \$149.73 | \$87,220.72 |
| 603.20015 | RCP 15 in | FT | 549 | \$95.00 | \$52,200.60 |
| 603.20018 | RCP 18 in | FT | 563 | \$97.02 | \$54,576.66 |
| 603.20024 | RCP 24 in | FT | 3500 | \$111.44 | \$390,040.00 |
| 625.10200 | MANHOLE TYPE B | EA | 14 | \$7,300.00 | \$102,200.00 |
| 625.20100 | INLET TYPE A | EA | 12 | \$4,376.52 | \$52,518.24 |
| 625.20200 | INLET TYPE B | EA | 19 | \$4,376.52 | \$83,153.88 |
| 608.10200 | SIDEWALK (CONC) | SY | 6441 | \$60.97 | \$392,725.79 |
| 609.10200 | CURB AND GUTTER TYPE A | FT | 9632 | \$44.49 | \$428,520.56 |
| 701.17120 | CONDUIT-RIGID PVC 2 in | FT | 7800 | \$10.04 | \$78,312.00 |
| 701.20100 | PULL BOX TYPE A | EA | 40 | \$616.22 | \$24,648.80 |
| 701.21100 | SERVICE POINT LIGHTING | EA | 1 | \$6,060.00 | \$6,060.00 |
| 701.29070 | SINGLE CONDUCTOR WIRE \#8 AWG | FT | 7800 | \$1.37 | \$10,686.00 |
| 701.62100 | ROADWAY LUMINAIRE | EA | 40 | \$1,011.33 | \$40,453.20 |
| 799.60300 | PAVEMENT LINE 4 in | FT | 22000 | \$0.16 | \$3,520.00 |
| 799.70105 | THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKINGS | SF | 600 | \$29.09 | \$17,454.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal |  | \$2,344,139.43 |
|  |  |  | Design | 15.00\% | \$351,620.91 |
|  |  |  | Mobilization | 10.00\% | \$234,413.94 |
|  |  |  | raffic Control | 15.00\% | \$351,620.91 |
|  | Constr | ruction | Engineering | 10.00\% | \$234,413.94 |
|  |  |  | orce account | 5.00\% | \$117,206.97 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal |  | \$3,633,416.12 |
|  | Right of Way Acquisition -AR | SF | 7272 | \$8.50 | \$61,812.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal |  | \$3,695,228.12 |
|  |  |  | Contingency | 15.00\% | \$554,284.22 |
|  |  |  | Total |  | \$4,187,700.34 |

## East Pershing Boulevard - Fireside Drive to Christensen Road

| Length LF |  |  | 4150 |  | Total Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Description | Unit | Estimated Quantity | Unit Price |  |
| 201.03201 | CLEARING AND GRUBBING | ACRE | 5 | \$12,077.29 | \$57,530.71 |
| 202.03260 | REMOVAL OF PIPE | FT | 350 | \$19.57 | \$6,849.50 |
| 202.03305 | MILLING PLANT MIX | SY | 21973 | \$1.21 | \$26,587.79 |
| 202.03400 | REMOVAL OF SURFACING | SY | 2767 | \$19.46 | \$53,839.33 |
| 202.03430 | REMOVAL OF SIDEWALK | SY | 133 | \$17.11 | \$2,281.33 |
| 202.03600 | CUTTING BIT PVMT | FT | 8472 | \$2.25 | \$19,061.73 |
| 202.03500 | RESET MAILBOX (SINGLE) | EA | 16 | \$390.17 | \$6,242.72 |
| 203.02500 | UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION | CY | 889 | \$12.30 | \$10,933.33 |
| 207.03100 | TOPSOIL STORING | CY | 1921 | \$3.20 | \$6,148.15 |
| 207.03200 | TOPSOIL PLACING | CY | 1050 | \$3.60 | \$3,779.15 |
| 216.03105 | SEEDING | SY | 6299 | \$0.81 | \$5,101.86 |
| 301.01010 | PIT RUN SUBBASE | CY | 167 | \$22.31 | \$3,720.56 |
| 301.01085 | CRUSHED BASE | CY | 1267 | \$47.73 | \$60,459.92 |
| 401.02000 | HOT PLANT MIX | TON | 3748 | \$51.31 | \$192,290.89 |
| 401.02055 | HOT PLANT MIX APPROACHES | TON | 30 | \$98.52 | \$2,966.47 |
| 407.01000 | TACK COAT | TON | 14 | \$598.71 | \$8,256.84 |
| 414.01050 | CONCRETE PVMT (10 in) | SY | 941 | \$75.00 | \$70,599.00 |
| 603.20012 | RCP 12 in | FT | 4230 | \$149.73 | \$633,315.98 |
| 603.20018 | RCP 18 in | FT | 683 | \$97.02 | \$66,224.88 |
| 625.10200 | MANHOLE TYPE B | EA | 13 | \$7,300.00 | \$94,900.00 |
| 625.20100 | INLET TYPE A | EA | 6 | \$4,376.52 | \$26,259.12 |
| 608.10200 | SIDEWALK (CONC) | SY | 6440 | \$60.97 | \$392,620.99 |
| 609.10200 | CURB AND GUTTER TYPE A | FT | 8406 | \$44.49 | \$373,985.61 |
| 701.17120 | CONDUIT-RIGID PVC 2 in | FT | 8300 | \$10.04 | \$83,332.00 |
| 701.20100 | PULL BOX TYPE A | EA | 42 | \$616.22 | \$25,881.24 |
| 701.21100 | SERVICE POINT LIGHTING | EA | 1 | \$6,060.00 | \$6,060.00 |
| 701.29070 | SINGLE CONDUCTOR WIRE \#8 AWG | FT | 8300 | \$1.37 | \$11,371.00 |
| 701.62100 | ROADWAY LUMINAIRE | EA | 42 | \$1,011.33 | \$42,475.86 |
| 799.60300 | PAVEMENT LINE 4 in | FT | 26000 | \$0.16 | \$4,160.00 |
| 799.70105 | THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKINGS | SF | 648 | \$29.09 | \$18,850.32 |
|  | \#N/A | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  | \#N/A | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$2,297,235.96 |
| Design 12.00\% |  |  |  |  | \$275,668.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  | 10.00\% | \$229,723.60 |
| Traffic Control |  |  |  | 15.00\% | \$344,585.39 |
| Construction Engineering |  |  |  | 10.00\% | \$229,723.60 |
| Force account |  |  |  | 5.00\% | \$114,861.80 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$3,491,798.66 |
| Contingency 15.00\% |  |  |  |  | \$523,769.80 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$4,015,568.46 |

Unit prices sourced from WYDOT 2021 Weighted Avergae Bid Prices.

## G: ADD ALTERNATE FOR BIKE LANE AT US 30

## APPENDIX G

During the MPO Technical Committee on October 12, 2022, a motion was made to "Recommend this plan's adoption to the MPO Policy Committee" with this comment and amendment. The comment was to continue the On-Street Bike Lane west to the U.S. 30 Intersection. To do so would change the curb-line and sidewalk placement and move the curb-line of the southern side of the triangle island; shown in red. When this planning effort began, the intersection of East Pershing and U.S. 30 was not included in the work of the consultant. The MPO had already included this intersection in a previous planning effort; The 2019 "East Dell Range Boulevard / U.S. 30 Corridor Study". It is understood that when WYDOT and the City work to do any redesign of this intersection that all elements of traffic operations and Complete Streets designs will need to be considered. Given this proposed Bike-Lane extending west to the intersection, it would be expected that the Bike-Lane would be continued west of this intersection.
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