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# Cheyenne Metropolitan Planning Organization <br> Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue and 10\% Design Plan 

## Executive Summary

Lands surrounding the Storey and Van Buren corridors have a high probability to develop and it is necessary to preserve rights of way for future collector and arterial streets to serve future neighborhoods. Steep terrain presents an obstacle for construction, so alignments must take that into account, along with soils, drainage patterns, property and section lines, forecast traffic, potential environmental impacts, and agency, neighborhood and property owner perspectives. Analysis results and recommended cross sections are described in this document. Recommended alignments and rights of way are depicted in the associated document entitled "Official Map."

## Legal Authority

An Official Map is authorized by State of Wyoming enabling legislation under statute § 15-1-508 and 509, as follow:
Universal Citation: WY Stat § 15-1-508 (2014)
2014 Wyoming Statutes
TITLE 15 - CITIES AND TOWNS
CHAPTER 1 - GENERAL PROVISIONS
ARTICLE 5 - PLANNING
15-1-508. Major street plan; official map; contents; procedure; effect; recording ordinance.
(a) After the commission has adopted a major street plan, the governing body may establish an official map of the whole or any part of the existing public streets. The map may also show the location of the lines of streets on plats of subdivisions which the commission has approved. The governing body may make other additions to or modifications of the official map by extending the lines of proposed new streets or street extensions, widenings, narrowings or vacations which have been accurately surveyed and definitely located. Before taking any such action the governing body shall hold a public hearing thereon. Any proposed addition to or modification of the official map shall be submitted to the commission for its approval. If the commission disapproves, approval of the addition or modification then requires an affirmative vote of not less than a majority of the governing body.
(b) The placing of any street or street lines upon the official map does not of itself constitute the opening or establishment of any street or the taking or acceptance of any land for street purposes.
(c) The governing body shall direct that the adopted ordinance creating the official map be recorded in the office of the county clerk.

## Universal Citation: WY Stat § 15-1-509 (2014)

15-1-509. Major street plan; preserving integrity of map; building permits; necessary findings; specifications
(a) To preserve the integrity of the official map, the governing body may provide by ordinance, subject to appropriate eminent domain proceeding, that no permit may be issued for any building or structure which encroaches upon land located within the lines of any street as shown on the official map. The ordinance shall provide that the board of adjustment, which the governing body may create by ordinance, has the power, upon an appeal filed with it by the owner of any such land, to authorize a permit for a building or structure within any mapped-street location when it finds that:
(i) The property of the appellant a portion of which lies within the street lines will not yield a reasonable return to the owner unless the permit is granted; or
(ii) Balancing the interest of the municipality in preserving the integrity of the official map and the interest of the owner in the use and benefits of the property, the grant of the permit is required by justice and equity.
(b) Before taking any action, the board shall hold a public hearing thereon. If the board decides to authorize a building permit, it may specify the exact location, ground area, height and other details and conditions of extent and character and also the duration of the building or structure to be permitted.

## Purpose and Need

Storey Boulevard and Van Buren Avenue have been identified as future arterial and collector streets in the Cheyenne Metropolitan Long Range Transportation Plan (LRP), "PlanCheyenne." Preservation of rights of way for the roads is essential to prevent undue construction costs, congestion, and crashes. A recent development action - a 160-acre plat - preserved part of the future alignment of Storey Blvd. This official map defines the remaining alignments so there will be no need to revisit this issue whenever a development occurs in the area.

## Study Location

The study corridors are in Township 14 North, Range 66 West, Sections $14,15,22$, and 23 , in Laramie Co, Wyoming.

The location is on the urban periphery immediately northeast of the City of Cheyenne. Areas to the south and west are developed as urban low-to-medium density singlefamily residential. Areas north and east are mostly 5-20 acre residential estates known locally as "ranchettes."

This study defines two alignments:


1. The future "Storey Boulevard" from the Summit

Drive / College Drive intersection eastward to the intersection of Whitney Road and Beckle Road.
2. The future "Van Buren Avenue" from its current terminus 950 feet north of Dell Range Boulevard north to intersect Storey Blvd. at either the Van Buren or Woods Road intersection.


## Van Buren Alignment:

Van Buren Avenue is currently a north-south collector street from Dell Range Boulevard to US-30.

North of Dell Range, Van Buren was platted by H. Irving Gysel and Betty C. Gysel in July 1952 as "Gary Road," within the Hill Heights Addition. The 80' right of way width was named "Van Buren Avenue" in January 2005 in the Crown Subdivision, 1st Filing, platted by James O. Woods. The platted right of way ends 1320 ' ( $1 / 4$ mile) north of Dell Range Boulevard, with the south 950 feet currently paved. Van Buren north of Storey also has an $80^{\prime}$ ROW, as described in the Antelope Hills $2^{\text {nd }}$ Filing plat of 1974.

The paved portion of Van Buren has a gradient ranging from $1 \%$ in the south to $3 \%$ at its north terminus. North of the current terminus is steeply rising terrain to a bluff top, then a gentler slope down to the north terminus at the future Storey Boulevard alignment.


## Van Buren looking south into Gysel property (Section 23)



## Storey Boulevard Alignment

The east-west Storey Boulevard corridor was once discontinuous and so has several street names, including Western Hills Boulevard, Storey Boulevard, Summit Drive, Beckle Road, and Stewart Road.

The study segment from College Drive to Highland Road is currently called "Summit Drive" and parallels the north section line of sections 22 and 23 (see photos below). A steep hill presents an obstacle along the section line, necessitating a detour slightly south to avoid steep slopes. Small potential wetlands along the alignment also present obstacles.


Summit Drive looking east at Gysel property (along north section line of Section 23)


## Existing Conditions

- Terrain

Study area terrain ranges from 6,030 to 6,160 feet elevation, and consists of upland prairie biome along a steep ridge. Generally, gentler slopes make construction easier. Locations exceeding 5\% slope require soil cuts and fill. When possible, it is best to avoid such sites in order to minimize excess construction cost and environmental damage.

| Slope (USDA Soil/Slope Criteria) | $\mathbf{0 - 6 \%}$ Slope | $\mathbf{6 - 1 0 \%}$ Slope | 3-15\% Slope |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Storey Boulevard Corridor | $70.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 2 \%}$ |
| Percent of Van Buren Boulevard <br> Corridor | $50.1 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ |

The terrain elevation map below illustrates the two study corridors in light blue lines. Storey Boulevard runs east-west and Van Buren runs north-south. In the image below, highest elevation is red and lowest elevation is green. Dark areas indicate steep terrain.


## Storey Corridor Terrain:

The main site constraints on the Storey corridor (light blue line) are a hill located approximately 650' east of north Van Buren Avenue, and a wetland (prairie pothole) located just west of Woods Road. The optimal alignment should curve south to avoid the hill and wetland. (Contour lines below represent two-foot elevation intervals.)


The green profile below follows the section line above (light blue line). Vertical elevations below are exaggerated to illustrate the steep terrain on the west side of the hill.


## Van Buren Corridor Terrain:

The principal site constraint in the Van Buren Corridor is the steep ridge line, 130 feet above the surrounding terrain, peaking about 1600 ' north of the current terminus of Van Buren. A connection to the north will require cut and fill to achieve an acceptable roadway gradient.


The profile below follows the light blue line due north of Van Buren. This direct alignment results in slopes greater than $10 \%$ and would involve extensive cuts. A curved alignment would increase the travel distance and reduce the steepness of the roadway alignment - thus requiring fewer cuts.


## Slopes

The maps below illustrate slopes in the study area. In explanation, a $20 \%$ slope, for example, rises 20' vertically for every 100 feet horizontally. The maximum allowable roadway grade is $10 \%$, and preferably $5 \%$ or less to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Areas colored green, light blue and blue range are from almost flat (0\%) to $5 \%$ grade. Areas colored black, red, yellow, and white, are $7 \%, 10 \%, 15 \%$, and $20 \%$ slopes, respectively, and would require increasingly more earth removal to achieve a $5-7 \%$ roadway grade.

The most difficult area for construction lies in the arroyo just north of the south terminus of Van Buren Avenue.


## Soils

Soils have been previously surveyed by the US Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service (USDA/NRCS). Roadway corridors traverse soil types 102, 104, 158, 162, 188, and 189. These soil types are described in the following section excerpted from the US Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey of Laramie County Wyoming. These are general soil conditions, and test borings will be needed prior to completing roadway design.


## Description of USDA/NRCS Soil Ratings for Construction of Streets

Excerpt:
"Ratings are based on the soil properties that affect the ease of excavation and grading, and the traffic-supporting capacity. The properties that affect the ease of excavation and grading are depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, hardness of bedrock or a cemented pan, depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, the amount of large stones, and slope. The properties that affect the traffic-supporting capacity are soil strength (as inferred from the AASHTO group index number), subsidence, linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential), the potential for frost action, depth to a water table, and ponding.

The ratings are both verbal and numeric. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect the specified use. "Not limited" indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. "Somewhat limited" indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. "Very limited" indicates that the soil has
one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00 . They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

## Storey Corridor Soils:



USDA Soil Ratings
Grey $=$ Not Rated $\quad$ Yellow $=$ Somewhat Limited $\quad$ Red $=$ Very Limited

| Summary for Storey Corridor - Laramie County, Wyoming, Western Part (WY721) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Component name (percent) | Rating reasons (numeric values) | Acres in $\mathrm{AOI}^{1}$ | Percent of AOI |
| 102 | Altvan-Dix complex, 6 to 10 percent slopes | Somewhat limited | Altvan (60\%) | Frost action (0.50) | 4.5 | 5.5\% |
| 104 | Ascalon loam, 0 to 6 percent slopes | Somewhat limited | Ascalon (85\%) | Frost action (0.50) <br> Low strength <br> $(0.05)$ | 1.2 | 1.5\% |
|  |  |  |  | Shrink-swell (0.00) |  |  |
| 158 | Poposhia silt loam, 0 to 6 percent slopes | Very limited | Poposhia (85\%) | Low strength $(1.00)$ | 41.8 | 51.6\% |
| 162 | Poposhia-Trimad complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes | Very limited | Poposhia (50\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low strength } \\ & (1.00) \end{aligned}$ | 9.1 | 11.2\% |
| 188 | Urban land-Poposhia complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes | Not rated | Urban land (65\%) |  | 13.9 | 17.1\% |
|  |  |  | Blazon (5\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Piezon (5\%) |  |  |  |
| 189 | Urban land-Poposhia-Trimad complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes | Not rated | Urban land (60\%) |  | 10.5 | 13.0\% |
|  |  |  | Piezon (5\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rock outcrop } \\ & (5 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Totals for Storey Boulevard Corridor |  |  |  |  | 81.0 | 100.0\% |


| Summary by Soil Rating Values - Storey Corridor |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Street Construction Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| Very limited | 50.9 | $62.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat limited | 5.7 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Null or Not Rated | 24.4 | $30.1 \%$ |
| Totals for Area of Interest | 81.0 | $100.0 \%$ |

[^0]Van Buren Corridor Soils:


USDA Soil Ratings
Grey $=$ Not Rated $\quad$ Yellow $=$ Somewhat Limited $\quad$ Red $=$ Very Limited

| Summary for Van Buren Corridor - Laramie County, Wyoming, Western Part (WY721) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Component name (percent) | Rating reasons (numeric values) | Acres in AOI | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Percent of } \\ \text { AOI } \end{array}$ |
| 102 | Altvan-Dix complex, 6 to 10 percent slopes | Somewhat limited | Altvan (60\%) | Frost action (0.50) | 28.5 | 18.9\% |
| 104 | Ascalon loam, 0 to 6 percent slopes | Somewhat limited | Ascalon (85\%) | Frost action (0.50) Low strength (0.05) Shrink-swell (0.00) | 22.5 | 14.9\% |
| 158 | Poposhia silt loam, 0 to 6 percent slopes | Very limited | Poposhia (85\%) | Low strength (1.00) | 53.2 | 35.2\% |
| 162 | Poposhia-Trimad complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes | Very limited | Poposhia (50\%) | Low strength (1.00) | 47.0 | 31.1\% |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  |  |  |  | 151.2 | 100.0\% |


| Summary by Rating Value - Van Buren Corridor |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| Very limited | 100.2 | $66.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat limited | 51.0 | $33.7 \%$ |
| Totals for Area of Interest | 151.2 | $100.0 \%$ |

## Climate

The mean annual air temperature is 41 to 45 degrees $F$. The average July high is around 83 degrees Fahrenheit and average January low is 16F. The mean annual rainfall is 15 to 17 inches, with 55 inches in the form of snow. The table depicts the rainfall intensity and duration for storm events in Cheyenne:

| Rainfall Intensity - Duration and Frequency ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ Duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rainfall Intensity (inches per hour) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{( 2 ~ Y r )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 ~ Y r )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 0 ~ Y r )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 5 ~ Y r )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 0 ~ Y r )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 0 0 Y r )}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Minutes | 3.42 | 4.75 | 5.70 | 6.98 | 8.00 | 9.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Minutes | 2.64 | 3.66 | 4.38 | 5.40 | 6.12 | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Minutes | 2.20 | 3.04 | 3.60 | 4.36 | 4.96 | 5.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 Minutes | 1.34 | 1.96 | 2.42 | 3.06 | 3.56 | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Hour | 0.73 | 1.10 | 1.41 | 1.87 | 2.27 | 2.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Hours | 0.41 | 0.63 | 0.83 | 1.16 | 1.46 | 1.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Hours | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Drainage

Alignments that minimize the number of stream crossings require fewer culverts and cost less to build.
Study area surface drainage is depicted in the map below. The study area drains toward two regional watersheds that flow into the South Platte River.

- North of the ridge (dark orange area below), the study area drains north toward Child's Draw.
- South of the ridge, the study area drains through a number of gullies into an urban drainage system and eventually to Dry Creek.


[^1]
## Groundwater

The groundwater table does not appear to be a significant factor in selecting preferred roadway alignments. The shallowest groundwater in the study area occurs south of the ridge, closest to Dell Range Boulevard, with depths ranging from 101 to 115' near existing Van Buren Avenue. North of the ridge, well records reveal a depth of $121^{\prime}$ to 150 ' to the static water level along the proposed Storey Boulevard Corridor. ${ }^{3}$

## Known and Potential Wetlands

It is best to align roadways to avoid wetlands. Hydric soils may increase construction costs, and federal wetland regulations may require wetland mitigation.

The US Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory maps indicate small areas of "Freshwater Emergent Wetland" on and near the proposed alignment of Storey Boulevard. ${ }^{4}$ One small emergent wetland is depicted near the section line between the termini of Woods Road and Highland Road (below). This wetland must be field verified, and if it is a wetland then it must be either avoided or mitigated to meet federal regulations.

Aerial imagery and terrain mapping identify a second potential wetland near the proposed Storey Boulevard alignment, located about 525' southeast of the north terminus of Van Buren Avenue. This location exhibits signs of intermittent ponding and should be inspected for wetland species for environmental clearance prior to construction.


[^2]
## Property Ownership



Several large vacant properties $(15,16,17,29,30,37$, and 38$)$ are owned by three family trusts.


## 2016 Survey and Aerial Imagery:

Western Research and Development conducted a helicopter aerial LiDAR survey at 1400' above ground level (AGL) on August 17, 2016, coupled with high resolution aerial photography. The LiDAR point density was $10-12$ points per square meter, with aerial imagery at $2 "$ pixel resolution. Aerial survey data was rectified with field survey "check shots" collected simultaneously along Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue. The error of the survey was $1.1 \mathrm{RMSE}_{z}$ which meets ASPRS accuracy guidelines for generating 1 foot terrain contours.

## Built Environment and Existing Land Uses

With the exception of the recently platted Woods Landing Estates, all lots on or adjacent to the study corridors have a residence built on them. One parcel (\#33) is listed in county GIS records as commercial and appears to be in use as a horse stables and riding training facility.


## Abandoned Irrigation Ditch

An unused irrigation ditch is evident running west to east across the study area (blue line below). Local recollections are that it may have been called "Ogden Ditch," that it was hand dug before 1900, and that it never carried water. ${ }^{5}$

The ditch extends beyond the study area and has been obliterated in many locations. The original beginning and end points are not known. The ditch is roughly $18^{\prime \prime}-24^{\prime \prime}$ deep and 6 ' across. The ditch enters the study area at a 6127' elevation at the horse paddock on Thomas
 Road, and exits at a 6122' elevation at Whitney Road. Stream channels cross it repeatedly, showing that it is not functional.

## Existing Trails

A few trails and cultural features are already evident in the study area (pink lines above). One 2-track trail follows the crest of the ridge from east to west, from the Whitney family homestead on Whitney Road to the equine training facility on Thomas Road. A second trail connects from the Geysel family estate on Gysel Road north to the previously mentioned east-west trail.

[^3]
## Development Plans

- Recent Plats

The 2016 plat of "Woods Landing Estates" depicts 30 residential "ranchette" lots on the 160 acres of the southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 14. Lot sizes are about five acres. The plat includes a 50 ' half right of way for Storey Boulevard curving south at the west end, and an 80' full ROW for Robert Parker Trail: an interior street connecting from the Whitney / Dorsey Road intersection to Storey Boulevard. This study has incorporated the roads platted with the City and County Woods Landing Estates development action.


## - Current Zoning

Land within the study area is currently zoned A-2: Agricultural. The minimum lot size in the A-2 district is 20 acres. Adjacent areas are zoned AR (Agricultural Residential) and A-1 (Agriculture and Rural Residential), with minimum lot sizes of 5 and 10 acres respectively. Appendix 2 provides relevant excerpts from the Laramie County Land Use Regulations, as adopted February 15, 2011. Adjacent City land is developed as MR-2 (medium density residential) with a typical lot size of 6000 square feet: approximately 6 dwelling units per acre.

Future Land Use


PlanCheyenne shows land within the study area proposed for 'Urban Transition Residential.' The following text and image from PlanCheyenne describe this proposed land use:

"The Urban Transition Residential category, along with the Rural Residential category, provides a gradual transition from the urbanized areas of the Cheyenne Area to the rural areas on the periphery. It includes existing homes and neighborhoods, as well as some planned and recent new growth areas.

## Uses

Primary Uses: Limited range of lower density residential uses, blending urban and rural standards. It allows single family residences and multi-family duplexes, patio homes, and townhomes.
Secondary Uses: Supporting and complementary uses, including open space and recreation, equestrian uses, schools, places of worship, and other public or civic uses are also appropriate in this category. Senior housing is appropriate if compatible with the surrounding area. Farm animals and horses are appropriate as permitted by City and County regulations.

## Location

Generally located along the northern edge of the City of Cheyenne where some large lot development has already occurred on well and septic systems. It also occurs at the "edge" of other parts of the Urban Service Boundary (USB).

## Density

Existing residential densities vary, and new residential developments are generally less than 2 dwelling units per acre."

## Current 2040 Transportation Plan

The Long Range Plan for metro Cheyenne (County Version) was updated in 2014. The Roadway Vision Plan proposed new roadways (red) along the Storey Boulevard alignment from College to Whitney, and connecting north from Van Buren Avenue at Dell Range to Four Mile Road. The Fiscally Constrained Plan does not depict these new roadways because funds have not been identified for their construction. Dell Range Boulevard is proposed for future capacity improvements (Green).


## Non-Motorized Plans

Long term bicycle and pedestrian facility plans call for extension of a Greenway type shared-use facility through the study corridors. Called the "Northeast Ridgeline" the trail would extend 2.12 miles from north College Drive to Whitney Road. ${ }^{6}$ The combined route has been depicted following Storey Boulevard from College Drive to Highland Road, then approximately south along the property lines to the ridgeline, then along the ridgeline and property lines to Whitney Road (solid green line below). Further proposals call for a bike facility along Beckle Road from Whitney to Reese (two miles), however the precise type of facility (bike lane, bike-path) is not yet specified.


Guidelines for design of Non-Motorized facilities in New Developments call for:

- "Sidewalks separated from the street and different types of bicycle facilities on all collector and arterial streets, depending on traffic speed and volume.
- Safe and convenient pedestrian and bicycle access from the development site to existing, planned, and proposed trails or greenways located on or adjacent to the development site." ${ }^{7}$


## 2012 Bikeway and Greenway Plan

The 2012 Bikeway and Greenway Plan update depicts existing Greenway along Dry Creek, and on Summit east to College. Near term
 plans call for Greenway extensions along Ridge Road.

[^4]Traffic and Safety Analysis

Crash patterns on surrounding streets and intersections indicate current safety performance in the study area. Completion of Storey and Van Buren will alter traffic patterns on surrounding arterial streets, and change surrounding crash patterns at these intersections. Western assembled records of traffic crashes and traffic count volumes in the surrounding road network from 2011 to 2015. Crash data for the surrounding road network is useful for several purposes in this study:
A. Bypassing volume away from existing intersections will reduce volumes for some movements, and therefore reduce the probable crashes involving those movements.
B. New intersections can be expected to have crash patterns similar to other area intersections with similar geometry and volume.
C. Expectation of potentially higher crashes at new locations may suggest alternative intersection geometries to prevent the problem from occurring.

WYDOT Highway Safety Section provided crash data for study area road segments and intersections for the five year period 2011 to 2015, inclusive. The following is a summary of that data:

## Corridor Crashes:

- College Drive experienced six crashes unrelated to intersections or drives, including horse, deer, and reckless or weather events with no correctable pattern.
- Woods Road (County Road 598) from Columbia to Terminus (Storey Extension)

One "Property Damage Only" (PDO) crash occurred on this link during the study period. A vehicle turned left without signaling.

- Van Buren from RM 0 (Terminus) to 0.18 (Skyline Drive)

No crashes

- Arthur Avenue from Summit to Welchester

No crashes

## Intersection Crashes:

WY 212 (College Drive) at Welchester Drive (Mile Point 9.42)
This is a two-way stop controlled CROSS type intersection with approximately 4000 entering vehicles per day (VPD). All four legs are paved.
This intersection experienced 2 crashes including one injury crash (50\%) injuring one person. Both crashes were angle crashes.

- WY 212 (College Drive) at Balmoral Ct. (Mile Point 9.33)

This is a stop controlled TEE intersection. No crashes were reported.

- WY 212 (College Drive) at Summit Drive (Intersection \# 12663)

This is a two-way stop controlled CROSS intersection with about 7500 entering vehicles per day. The E-W direction stops. The west leg is paved, with a $10^{\prime}$ shared-use trail on the north side of the road. The east leg is unpaved and dead ends at Highland Road, so the intersection functions similarly to a TEE intersection. One PDO rear-end crash occurred eastbound at this intersection with no injuries.


- WY 212 (College Drive) at Thomas Road (Intersection \#12664 - Mile Point 8.89)

This is a two-way stop controlled CROSS type intersection serving about 7800 vehicles daily. The E-W roadway stops. The east and west legs are unpaved.
Eleven crashes occurred at this intersection, including 4 (36\%) injury crashes which injured 6 persons.
Six crashes (54\%) were rear end collisions on the N-S paved roadway.
Of the 4 injury crashes, 2 (50\%) were rear end crashes, one was
 an angle crash, and one was a loss of control crash.
Two PDO crashes were reported at mile point 8.90 ( 50 ' north of Thomas). Both were northbound rearend collisions.

- WY 212 (College Drive) at Carla Drive (Mile Point 8.53) This is a two-way stop controlled CROSS Intersection. The west leg of Carla Drive is unpaved.
The intersection experienced one PDO crash involving a vehicle entering from Carla which failed to yield to traffic southbound on College.
- WY 212 (College Drive) at Victoria Drive (Mile Point
 8.46)

No crashes were reported.

- WY 212 (College Drive) at Everton Drive (Mile Point 8.41) This is a two-way stop controlled CROSS type intersection. Everton is a paved minor collector.
One PDO angle crash was reported involving a vehicle entering from Everton and failing to yield.

- WY 212 (College Drive) at Magnolia Dr. (Mile Point 8.36) This is a paved TEE intersection with a local street. No crashes were reported.

- WY 212 (College Drive) at Rogers Ave (Mile Point 8.31)

This is a TEE intersection with all legs paved. This intersection experienced one angle crash resulting in one injury. A westbound entering vehicle failed to yield.

- WY 212 (College Drive) at Gregg Way (Mile Point 8.28)
This is a TEE intersection of a local street.
No crashes were reported.
- WY 212 (College Drive) at Cobblestone
 Court (Mile Point 8.25)
This is a paved TEE intersection of a cul-de-sac serving 18 dwelling units. No crashes were reported.
- WY 212 (College Drive) at Dell Range Boulevard (Intersection \#12674 - Mile Point 8.15)

This intersection is one of the highest crash locations in Wyoming. It is a signalized CROSS intersection processing about 32,000 vehicles per day. All legs are paved. The North, east, and south legs are 5-lane. The west leg has a RT Bypass lane.
67 crashes occurred at this location, including 54 PDO crashes, 12 'serious' and 1 'critical' crash. 17 persons were injured in the 13 injury crashes.

31 crashes (46\%) were angle crashes, which are common at CROSS type geometric configurations.
26 (39\%) were rear end crashes, which commonly occur at
 intersections with signal control. 6 (9\%) were head-on crashes, primarily due to misjudging a gap in oncoming traffic while executing a left turn.

Extension of Storey Boulevard can be expected to reduce the East-West through volume on Dell Range, and reduce the volume of interaction between the north and east legs of the intersection.

- Summit Drive (CR 590) at Ridge Road (CR-127) (Intersection \#13843)

This is a two way stop controlled CROSS intersection. The E-W direction stops. A 10' shared-used path follows the north side of Summit Drive.

25 crashes occurred at this intersection, including 8 injury crashes (32\%) which injured 12 persons. 21 crashes (84\%) were angle crashes.

Of the injury crashes, 2 (25\%) were head-on collisions and 6 (75\%) were angle crashes.

- Summit Drive at Arthur Road This is a TEE intersection of two gravel roads. No crashes were reported.
- Summit Drive at Highland Road This is an ELL intersection of two gravel roads. No crashes were reported.

- Whitney Road at Beckle Road

This is a TEE intersection with the east leg unpaved. No crashes were reported.


- Dell Range Blvd at Van Buren Avenue (Intersection \#13475)

This is a two-way stop controlled CROSS intersection with the north leg a short stub. It functions similarly to a TEE intersection. All legs are paved.
Van Buren slopes downhill at a $1 \%$ grade near Dell Range.

One PDO crash occurred at this location. A southbound vehicle rear-ended another vehicle that had stopped at Dell Range. The road was icy.


- Braehill Road (CR 605) at Thomas Road (CR 610) (Intersection \# 13848)

This is a two-way stop controlled CROSS intersection. Braehill (N-S) stops at Thomas (EW). Both roads are gravel.

One fixed object PDO crash occurred at this location due to sliding on ice/snow.


- Existing Traffic Counts

Traffic counts on nearby streets have been assembled by Cheyenne MPO and are listed in the following table. Green indicates actual counts and yellow are projected volumes.

| Count Station ID | Street | Location | Annual Growth Rate | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | Jurisdiction | Class | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | AVERAGE | Local Area | 6.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1418 | Storey | W of Converse | 2.0\% | 7,962 | 7,806 | 7,653 | 7,503 | 7,356 | 7,212 | Cheyenne | Minor Arterial | HPMS |
| 1419 | Storey | E of Converse | 2.0\% | 9,450 | 9,264 | 9,083 | 8,905 | 8,730 | 8,559 | Cheyenne | Minor Arterial | HPMS |
| 1329 | Summit | W of Ridge | 3.5\% | 5,905 | 5,697 | 5,489 | 5,281 | 5,207 | 5,132 | Laramie Co. | Minor Arterial | HPMS |
| 1334 | Summit | E of Ridge | 19.6\% | 3,630 | 2,918 | 2,206 | 1,494 | 1,513 | 1,532 | Laramie Co. | Minor Arterial | HPMS |
| 1203 | Summit | W of College | 2.0\% | 3,191 | 3,128 | 3,067 | 3,007 | 2,948 |  | Laramie Co. | Minor Arterial | MPO |
| 986 | Summit | E of College | 2.0\% | 242 | 238 | 233 | 228 | 224 |  | Laramie Co. | Minor Arterial | MPO |
|  |  | SUMMIT | 11.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 191 | Beckle | E of Whitney | 2.0\% | 213 | 209 | 205 | 201 | 197 |  | Laramie Co. | Collector | MPO |
| 1210 | Beckle | W of Christensen | 2.0\% | 99 | 97 | 95 | 93 | 91 |  | Laramie Co. | Collector | MPO |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 998 | Thomas | W of Ridge |  | 408 | 400 | 392 | 385 | 344 | 403 | Laramie Co. | Local | HPMS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 381 | Dell Range | W of Ridge | -1.0\% | 24,242 | 24,492 | 24,743 | 24,993 | 23,510 | 24,129 | Cheyenne | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 367 | Dell Range | E of Ridge | 1.7\% | 21,315 | 20,951 | 20,587 | 20,223 | 18,279 |  | Cheyenne | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 372 | Dell Range | W of College | 1.5\% | 21,068 | 20,743 | 20,417 | 20,092 |  |  | Cheyenne | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 358 | Dell Range | E of College | 13.5\% | 14,161 | 12,248 | 10,334 | 8,421 |  |  | Cheyenne | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 383 | Dell Range | W of Van Buren | 2.0\% | 9,432 | 9,247 | 9,066 | 8,888 | 8,714 | 8,543 | Cheyenne | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 368 | Dell Range | E of Van Buren | 12.8\% | 9,339 | 7,315 | 5,291 |  |  | 3,267 | Cheyenne | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 384 | Dell Range | W of Whitney | 1.9\% | 5,035 | 4,936 | 4,839 | 4,744 | 4,651 | 4,560 | Laramie Co. | Primary Arterial | MPO |
| 369 | Dell Range | E of Whitney | 0.2\% | 3,548 | 3,541 |  |  | 3,519 |  | Laramie Co. | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 1126 | Dell Range | W of US-30 | 18.7\% | 4,092 | 3,327 | 3,135 | 2,944 | 3,633 |  | Laramie Co. | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
|  |  | DELL RANGE | 6.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1303 | College | S of Four Mile | 7.3\% | 3,685 | 3,415 | 3,145 |  |  | 2,335 | State | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 1367 | College | S of Summit | 2.0\% | 7,420 | 7,274 | 7,131 | 6,992 | 6,302 | 7,274 | State | Primary Arterial | MPO |
| 310 | College | N of Carla | 7.3\% | 7,075 | 6,559 | 6,043 | 5,527 | 6,356 |  | State | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 311 | College | N of Dell Range | 7.6\% | 10,202 | 9,430 | 8,657 | 7,885 | 9,218 | 8,713 | State | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
| 325 | College | S of Dell Range | NA | 20,403 |  |  |  |  |  | State | Primary Arterial | HPMS |
|  |  | COLLEGE | 7.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1009 | Van Buren | S of Dell Range | -9.1\% | 1,743 | 1,902 |  | 2,219 |  |  | Cheyenne | Collector | HPMS |
| 1007 | Van Buren | N of US-30 | -7.9\% | 2,534 | 2,735 | 2,936 |  |  | 2,190 | Cheyenne | Collector | HPMS |
|  |  | VAN BUREN | -8.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1458 | Whitney | N of Four Mile | 4.2\% | 1,287 | 1,233 | 1,178 | 1,124 |  |  | S? | Collector | HPMS |
| 642 | Whitney | $N$ of Beckle Rd. | NA | 1,865 |  |  |  |  |  | S? | 1 ? | HPMS |
| 1072 | Whitney | $N$ of Dell Range | 19.5\% | 2,925 | 2,355 | 2,202 | 2,049 | 2,264 |  | Laramie Co. | Collector | HPMS |
| 1074 | Whitney | N of US-30 | -9.3\% | 2,605 | 2,846 |  |  |  |  | Laramie Co. | Minor Arterial | HPMS |
|  |  | WHITNEY | 4.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 297 | Christensen | N Of Beckle | 9.4\% | 1,557 | 1,411 | 1,010 | 990 | 971 |  | Laramie Co. | Minor Arterial | MPO |
| 298 | Christensen | N of US-30 | 9.4\% | 2,114 | 1,915 |  |  | 1,318 |  | Laramie Co. | Minor Arterial | HPMS |
|  |  | CHRISTENSEN | 9.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



- Growth Rates

Traffic counts in the immediate area showed a general growth rate of 6\% between 2011 and 2015. Growth rates on various streets range from negative 8.5\% annually on Van Buren south of Dell Range, to 9.4\% annual increase on Christensen.

Six percent is a reasonable average growth rate on a developing urban periphery. However these are short range trends based on few observations and should not be used for long term (30-year) traffic projections.

## - Regional Traffic Model

Cheyenne MPO maintains an urban travel demand model for the metropolitan area. The Base year of the model is designed to replicate travel patterns that occurred in the year 2010, and the model forecast year is 2040. The MPO modeled two scenarios for 2040: the 2040 Vision, which includes all road improvements desired by 2040, and the 2040 Fiscally Constrained model, which includes only those road improvements for which funds have been identified. Plots of the study area are included in Appendix 4.

## 2010 Base Year Model

Urban travel demand models do not perfectly replicate traffic counts. Link volumes need to be adjusted for the over or under prediction inherent in modeling. The 2010 Base Model approximated study area 2010 traffic counts as follows:

| Model Corridors | Average Corridor 2010 Over or Under Prediction |
| :--- | :---: |
| Storey/Summit Corridor west of Van Buren | $102 \%$ over prediction |
| Storey/Beckle Corridor east of Van Buren | $62 \%$ under prediction |
| College Drive Corridor north of Dell Range | $4.2 \%$ over prediction |
| Dell Range west of Van Buren | $26 \%$ over prediction |
| Dell Range east of Van Buren | $70 \%$ over prediction |
| Van Buren south of Dell Range | $54 \%$ under prediction |
| Whitney Road north of Dell Range | $37 \%$ under prediction |

## 2040 Models

The purpose of the 2040 "Fiscally Constrained" (FC) model is to project the traffic effects of expected new land use developments, as well as the "existing + committed" (E+C) road improvements. In this case, the FC model acts as the "No-Build" scenario, because it does not include the extensions of Storey Boulevard and Van Buren Avenue. We can use the prediction error from the 2010 model to adjust the model volumes for the 2040 FC Model, and the difference between the different 2040 models to examine changes in the system caused by the new roads. Any interpretation needs to bear in mind that the 2040 Vision model includes Storey Boulevard in roughly its proposed configuration, but the Van Buren Corridor has been changed and the 2040 vision model will be an imperfect representation of the new planned alignment.

| Model Links | 2010 <br> Count / <br> Est. | 2010 <br> Model <br> Volume | Adjust. <br> Factor | 2040 FC <br> Volume | Adj. FC <br> Volume | 2040 <br> Vision <br> Volume | Adj. <br> Vision <br> Volume | Unadj. <br> effects <br> of New <br> Streets | Adj. <br> Effects <br> of New <br> Streets |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summit west of College | 2889 | 4016 | .61 | 6156 | 3755 | 6842 | 4173 | +686 | +418 |
| Summit east of College | 224 | 638 | .34 | 1004 | 341 | 1744 | 593 | +740 | +248 |
| Storey Extension <br> west of Van Buren | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 1037 |  |  |  |
| Storey Extension <br> east of Van Buren | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 735 |  |  |  |
| Beckle Rd. <br> east of Whitney | 193 | 8 | 24.00 | 46 | 1104 | 538 | 12912 | +492 | +11808 |
| College Drive <br> north of Dell Range | 8713 | 10620 | 0.82 | 14803 | 12138 | 14359 | 11774 | -444 | -363 |
| Dell Range <br> west of Van Buren | 8543 | 8697 | 0.98 | 17792 | 17436 | 15736 | 15421 | -2056 | -2015 |
| Dell Range <br> east of Van Buren | 4065 | 7973 | .51 | 18019 | 9189 | 15255 | 7780 | -2764 | -1408 |
| Van Buren <br> north of Storey <br> Extension | 100 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 68 |  |  |  |


| Van Buren <br> south of Storey <br> Extension | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 251 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van Buren north of <br> Dell Range | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 304 |  |  |  |
| Van Buren south of Dell <br> Range | 2131 | 987 | 2.16 | 2365 | 5108 | 2398 | 5180 | +33 | +72 |
| Whitney Road north of <br> Dell Range | 2092 | 1420 | 1.47 | 4152 | 6103 | 2429 | 3570 | -1723 | -2532 |

## Observed Model Effects of New Roadways:

## Decreases:

- A significant traffic volume is diverted off of Dell Range Boulevard (1400-2800 vehicles per day).
- College Drive is reduced by 360-450 vehicles per day.


## No Change:

- Van Buren south of Dell Range shows no change due to the new roadway. This suggests that a Van Buren extension will function as a collector street: primarily providing access from development north of Dell Range to the Dell Range arterial.


## Increases:

- Summit east of College increases by 250-750 vehicles per day.
- Beckle Road increases significantly, but the forecast is very imprecise and can be interpreted anywhere from 500 to more than 12,000 vehicles per day, which is not plausible.


## Study Corridors

- The model shows Storey Extension with 750-1050 vehicles per day.
- The model shows Van Buren Extension with 70-300 vehicles per day.


## Weaknesses in Urban Travel Demand Model Forecasts:

Traffic models are designed to replicate large areas and major flows, and are normally calibrated to most closely reflect major flows on high volume regional arterials. Lower volume collectors and local streets can vary a great deal, and forecasts for specific links can be very inaccurate.

Model assumptions for Cheyenne incorporated a simple background growth rate of $1.25 \%$. Traffic generated in traffic analysis zones (TAZ's) was assumed to increase $1.25 \%$ annually, resulting in a total increase of $45 \%$ in 30 years. However, the traffic zones adjacent to the study corridors are the last large developable lots on the north side of Cheyenne - and they currently have just one dwelling in each zone. Consequently, these TAZ's have significantly more development potential than $45 \%$. We would expect the Cheyenne area model to significantly under-predict traffic from these zones.

## Significant Model Findings:

The 2040 model shows that extension of Storey will divert about 2060 vehicles per day off of Dell Range Boulevard. This is a reasonable expectation and the model is likely well calibrated for major arterials.

## Recent Changes in Potential Land Use Intensity

The April 2014 update of PlanCheyenne now depicts the urban service boundary encompassing the study area. ${ }^{8}$ The boundary (dashed purple line below) follows Four Mile Rd to College, then south to Welchester, east to Van Buren, southeast to Summit, east to Whitney, and south. Plans to make water and sewer available opens more development options, including anything from the current zoning (20 acre lots) to urban residential or commercial neighborhoods. The pattern of development will not be known until developments are approved in coming years.

This suggests that a more "urban" land use assumption would be prudent for street reservations within the study area.


## Structure Elements



Planning Area Boundary
Urban Service Boundary
City Boundary


Public and Quasi-Public
Open Space and Parks


## State Lands

Natural/Cultural Resource Area
Interstate Corridor
Major Vehicular Corridor
Railroad Corridor

[^5]
## Cheyenne Metropolitan Planning Organization <br> Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue and 10\% Design Plan

## Land Use Assumptions / Range of Potential Land Use Intensities

Future development is unknown. The adjacent land is within the urban services boundary, and it could be annexed to Cheyenne and developed with urban water and sewer - potentially allowing much higher densities. Depending on the development scenario, undeveloped parcels along the corridors could generate a range of traffic volumes from 580 to 31,000 ADT. For engineering design purposes, it's prudent to use a more conservative (on the reasonably high side) estimate of future traffic.

| New Vehicular Trips on Land Adjacent to Proposed Streets* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE Trip Generation Rates |  |  | ITE Land Use | 210: Single Famil | ly Homes | 2.25/HH | 9.57 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.37 |
| Location | Acres | Developable <br> Area (~90\%) | Zoning | Development Density (Units/Acre) | Dwelling Units | Population | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trips Per } \\ & \text { Day } \end{aligned}$ | AM PEAK <br> IN | AM PEAK OUT | PM PEAK <br> IN | PM PEAK OUT |
| LOW: Current Plats \& Zoning |  |  |  |  | 61 | 136 | 580 | 12 | 34 | 39 | 22 |
| Woods Landing | 160.0 | 144.0 | Platted | 0.1875 | 30 | 68 | 287 | 6 | 17 | 19 | 11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gysel Lot } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 290.6 | 261.5 | County A-2 | 0.05 | 13 | 29 | 125 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| Whitney <br> Lot 30 | 256.2 | 230.6 | County A-2 | 0.05 | 12 | 26 | 110 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Gysel Lot } \\ 37 / 38 \end{gathered}$ | 33.5 | 30.2 | County AR | 0.2 | 6 | 14 | 58 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| MEDIUM: PlanCheyenne Future Land Use |  |  |  |  | 1,101 | 2,477 | 10,535 | 209 | 616 | 705 | 407 |
| Woods Landing | 160.0 | 144.0 | Platted | 0.1875 | 30 | 68 | 287 | 6 | 17 | 19 | 11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gysel Lot } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 290.6 | 261.5 | Urban <br> Transition | 1.9 | 497 | 1118 | 4756 | 94 | 278 | 318 | 184 |
| Whitney <br> Lot 30 | 256.2 | 230.6 | Urban Transition | 1.9 | 438 | 986 | 4193 | 83 | 245 | 280 | 162 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Gysel Lot } \\ 37 / 38 \end{gathered}$ | 33.5 | 30.2 | Urban Residential | 4.5 | 136 | 306 | 1300 | 26 | 76 | 87 | 50 |
| HIGH: Cheyenne MR-2 \& Standard Lot DD-6 |  |  |  |  | 3,280 | 7,381 | 31,392 | 623 | 1,837 | 2,099 | 1,214 |
| Woods Landing | 160.0 | 144.0 | Platted | 0.1875 | 30 | 68 | 287 | 6 | 17 | 19 | 11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gysel Lot } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 290.6 | 261.5 | MR-2 | 6.2 | 1628 | 3662 | 15575 | 309 | 911 | 1042 | 602 |
| Whitney <br> Lot 30 | 256.2 | 230.6 | MR-2 | 6.2 | 1435 | 3228 | 13732 | 273 | 804 | 918 | 531 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Gysel Lot } \\ 37 / 38 \end{gathered}$ | 33.5 | 30.2 | MR-2 | 6.2 | 188 | 423 | 1798 | 36 | 105 | 120 | 70 |
| *Source: ITE Trip Generation, 8th Edition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Manual Traffic Forecast

While we know the existing land uses and traffic volumes, future land uses and traffic volume forecasts inevitably carry some degree of uncertainty, and overdesign carries added construction cost. Engineers compensate for traffic variation by using the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile travel speed, and $30^{\text {th }}$ high traffic hour, rather than the maximum possible volume and speed.

## Manual Trip Generation:

In the case of the above development scenarios, we are uncertain as to the future build out land use intensity and traffic volumes. Since underestimation carries the risk of under building the roadway, we will estimate the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile trip generation estimate for each parcel, where:

| Mean $=\mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{L}+4 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) / 6$ |  | Standard Deviation $=\mathrm{S}=(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}) / 6$ |  | $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile $=X+Z S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated Tri | ration for D | Parcel |  |
|  | MEAN (X) | St Dev. (S) | Z | 85th Percentile |
| Daily Trips | ( $0+4 *$ ML+P)/6 | (P-O)/6 | 1.05 | = X + 1.05 S |
| Woods Landing | 287 | 0 | 0 | $\underline{287}$ |
| Gysel Lot 29 | 5,787 | 2,575 | 2,704 | 8491 |
| Whitney Lot 30 | 5,102 | 2,270 | 2,384 | 7486 |
| Gysel Lot 37/38 | 1,176 | 290 | 304 | 1480 |

## Manual Trip Distribution

Trips were distributed according to the approximate "mass" of urban development in each cardinal direction. The parcels are located in the northeast quadrant of the urban area, so most daily trips will be oriented toward the south and west of the parcels. We will assign $50 \%$ to the west, $30 \%$ to the south, $10 \%$ to the east, and $10 \%$ to the north.

| MANUAL TRIP DISTRIBUTION MATRIX |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM V | TO > | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | WEST |
|  |  | 10\% | 10\% | 30\% | 50\% |
| WOODS LANDING |  |  |  |  |  |
| WL A | 48 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 24 |
| WL B | 57 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 29 |
| WL C | 182 | 18 | 18 | 55 | 91 |
| GEYSEL ESTATE |  | - | - | - | - |
| 29 A | 340 | 34 | 34 | 102 | 170 |
| 29 B | 509 | 51 | 51 | 153 | 255 |
| 29 C | 1,019 | 102 | 102 | 306 | 509 |
| 29 D | 2,887 | 289 | 289 | 866 | 1,443 |
| 29 E | 2,038 | 204 | 204 | 611 | 1,019 |
| 29 F | 1,698 | 170 | 170 | 509 | 849 |
| WHITNEY ESTATE |  | - | - | - | - |
| 30 A | 749 | 75 | 75 | 225 | 374 |
| 30 B | 749 | 75 | 75 | 225 | 374 |
| 30 C | 3,743 | 374 | 374 | 1,123 | 1,871 |
| 30 D | 749 | 75 | 75 | 225 | 374 |
| 30 E | 749 | 75 | 75 | 225 | 374 |
| 30 F | 749 | 75 | 75 | 225 | 374 |
| GEYSEL FARM |  | - | - | - | - |
| 37 A | 1,036.25 | 104 | 104 | 311 | 518 |
| 37 B | 444.11 | 44 | 44 | 133 | 222 |
| TOTAL | 17,744 | 1,774 | 1,774 | 5,323 | 8,872 |

## Manual Traffic Assignment Methodology

1. Traffic on existing streets was taken from current traffic counts.
2. Traffic from future build out development was assigned to streets by the following method:
a. Proposed roadways and connecting local streets were drawn atop the lot boundaries for each developable parcel.
b. Resulting polygons were treated as sub-zones, with each assigned a portion of the total daily trips generated in each existing.
c. Traffic from each sub-zone was assigned to streets using the 'all or nothing' method, which assigns $100 \%$ of daily trips to the shortest path from each zone to the nearest arterial leading toward each cardinal direction.
3. With a new travel route, the 2040 Cheyenne area traffic models predict a diversion of 2060 vehicles per day from Dell Range to Storey Extension. This volume was added to the Storey corridor, along with existing volumes (\#1 above) and traffic from new development (2 above).
4. Link volumes were rounded to the nearest fifty vehicles.

## Manual Traffic Assignment Map

The map below illustrates the resulting traffic assignment of new trips from the study area, plus traffic from traffic counts and regional modeling. New trips are added to existing traffic volumes, and to the traffic volumes which the 2040 model shows will divert from other arterials. These forecasts represent a reasonable estimate of future build-out volumes on the Van Buren and Storey Boulevard corridors. These do not represent a specific forecast year (2030, 2040, etc.) but instead replicate a hypothetical build-out scenario.


# Cheyenne Metropolitan Planning Organization <br> Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue and 10\% Design Plan 

## Functional Classification

Using either Laramie County or City of Cheyenne standards, projected volumes would classify Storey Boulevard as a "Minor Arterial." Van Buren is a Minor Collector (UDC A) south of the bluff and Major Collector (UDC B) north of the bluff. For consistency, the regional functional classification map should list Van Buren as a "Major Collector" throughout its length, with a consistent 80' ROW reservation. Laramie County classifies roadways by volume as follows: ${ }^{9}$

| Roadway Link | County Volume Range | County Functional Class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storey Boulevard Extension west of Van Buren | $7,000-15,000$ | Minor Arterial |
| Van Buren north of bluff | $3,500-7,000$ | Major Collector |
| Van Buren south of bluff | $1,000-3,500$ | Minor Collector |

## Excerpt from the Laramie County Land Use Regulations:

"c. Minor Arterial Streets
These streets serve major traffic generators and link collector streets with the principal arterials. These streets have a design traffic volume of between 7,000 and 15,000 vehicles ADT.
d. Major Collectors

Collectors provide a lower level of mobility than arterials at lower speeds and are of shorter distance. These streets connect local roads to arterials and have more direct access dependent on use and geographic setting. The design volume for these streets ranges from 3,500 to 7,500 ADT.

## e. Minor Collector

The collector street system serves intermediate and short-distance travel. Traffic volumes on such facilities are usually lower than those found on arterial facilities. Although collectors provide access to residential, business, and commercial areas, they do not expedite the through movement of traffic. The design volume of these streets ranges from 1,000 to 3,500 ADT."10

Functional classifications are the same using the Cheyenne Unified Development Code.

| Excerpt from Cheyenne Unified Development Code (UDC) <br> Table 4-5: Functional Classifications and Applicability ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Minor <br> Arterial | A street of moderate continuity over medium <br> distances that provides direct access between <br> adjacent neighborhoods or districts for medium <br> volumes of traffic. Minor Arterial streets are <br> occasionally interrupted or diverted by <br> neighborhood destinations or natural barriers. | Minor Arterials Streets should be located <br> every 1/3 to 1 mile apart, except more <br> frequent location of Collector Streets can <br> result in less frequent need for Minor Arterial <br> Streets. <br> Volume Range : 7,500 to 35,000 ADT |
| Collector | A street of moderate continuity over medium <br> distances that provides direct access between <br> adjacent neighborhoods or districts for low <br> volumes of traffic. Collector Streets are <br> occasionally interrupted or diverted by <br> neighborhood destinations or natural barriers, or <br> intersections with higher order streets. | Collector Streets should be located every <br> to $1 / 2$ mile apart. <br> Volume Range : 2,500 to 7,500 ADT |

[^6]With completion of this section, Storey Boulevard will extend 7.5 miles from I- 25 Access Drive (Hynds Boulevard) in the west, to Reese Road in the east, forming a natural minor arterial across north metro Cheyenne. Forecast volumes fall into the range from 7500-18,000 ADT, which is normal for roadways of this class. The road has comparatively few driveways and serves primarily to provide mobility rather than access. The nearest parallel arterials, Four Mile Road and Dell Range Boulevard, are spaced one mile distant to the north and south, respectively. There are no parallel collector streets. The roadway is correctly classified as a Minor Arterial.

Van Buren Avenue, traversing a shorter distance and serving a smaller area, will function as a collector street. Extending 2.75 miles, from US-30 to the future Four Mile Road, the roadway will serve less as a mobility function and more of an access function connecting surrounding neighborhoods to local arterials. The parallel arterials on either side (College Road to the west and Whitney Road to the east), are about $3 / 4$ mile distant, making a collector necessary to serve the neighborhoods in between.
Laramie County Land Use Regulations differentiate between major and Minor Collector Streets. With a projected average daily traffic (ADT) of 4100-6150 vehicles, Van Buren Avenue north of the bluff falls into the Major Collector category. South of the bluff, Van Buren will have little or no new development, and the projected ADT of 3400 falls into the Laramie County Minor Collector category.

## Roadway Design Standards

The design criteria used for these roadways come from several sources. The primary source will be the Laramie County Land Use Regulations. Cross section and alignment design are supplemented using AASHTO, Cheyenne Greenway design standards, and Cheyenne UDC as appropriate.

- Primary: Laramie County Design Standards ${ }^{12}$
- Secondary: AASHTO (Green Book) Standards ${ }^{13}$, Cheyenne City Unified Development Code (UDC) ${ }^{14}$
** The recommended right of way reservations for Storey and Van Buren will be sufficient to develop roadways meeting either Laramie County's or the City of Cheyenne's current street design regulations.**

[^7]| Design Standards and Technical Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street Segment | Storey west of Van Buren | Storey east of Van Buren | Van Buren north of Bluff | Van Buren south of Bluff |
| Forecast (ADT/DHV) | 8,750/960 | 5,050/560 | 6,150/740 | 3,400/410 |
| Functional Classification | County Minor Arterial | County Minor Arterial | County Urban Collector (UDC B) | County Urban Collector UDC (A) |
| Laramie County Design Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway Width (Back of Curb to Back of Curb) | $36^{\prime}$ Min | $36^{\prime}$ Min | $36^{\prime} 44^{\prime}$ with left turn lane | $36^{\prime} 44^{\prime}$ with left turn lane |
| Right-of-Way Width | $100{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{min}$ | $100{ }^{\prime}$ min | 80 ' min*15 | $70^{\prime}$ min |
| Travel Lanes | 2×12' | 2x12' | 2x12' | 2x12' |
| Left Turn Lane | $12^{\prime}$ at Intersections. Continuous as determined by county. | $12^{\prime}$ at Intersections. Continuous as determined by county. | $12^{\prime}$ at Intersections where needed. | 12 ' at Intersections where needed. |
| Bicycle Lane / Shoulder | 6 ' | 6 ' | 6' (5' at intersections) | $\begin{gathered} 6^{\prime}\left(5^{\prime}\right. \text { at } \\ \text { intersections }) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parking | None | None | None | None |
| Parkway <br> Tree Lawn / Landscape Strip | 6' minimum, landscaped | 6' minimum, landscaped | $8^{\prime}$ (min), $6^{\prime}$ at intersections with left turn lane | $8^{\prime}$ (min), $6^{\prime}$ at intersections with left turn lane |
| Sidewalk / Greenway* <br> Pedestrian Area Width | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10^{\prime} \text { on } N \text { Side* } \\ 8^{\prime} \text { on S Side } \end{gathered}$ | $10^{\prime}$ on N Side* $8^{\prime}$ on S Side | 10' on E side* <br> 6' on W side | 10' on E side* <br> 6' on W Side |
| Median | None | None | None | None |
| Maximum Grade | 6\% | 6\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Maximum Superelevation | . 04 | . 04 | . 04 | . 04 |
| Speed Limit | 40 MPH | 40 MPH | 35 MPH | 30 MPH |
| Access | Limited | Limited | Limited | Limited |
| Curb and Gutter | Vertical | Vertical | Vertical | Vertical |
| Utilities | Main lines for water, sewer, and storm drains shall be placed under the street with individual taps running to the property line. | Main lines for water, sewer, and storm drains shall be placed under the street with individual taps running to the property line. | Main lines for water, sewer, and storm drains shall be placed under the street with individual taps running to the property line. | Main lines for water, sewer, and storm drains shall be placed under the street with individual taps running to the property line. |
| Design Sight Distance along <br> Street (per Table 106-1) | 325' | 325' | 250' | 200' |
| Minimum Sight Distance Along Street (per Table 106-1) ${ }^{16}$ | 275' | 275' | 225' | 200' |
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| Design Vehicle (per Table 106- 3) | Multi Unit Truck | Multi Unit Truck | Multi Unit Truck | Multi Unit Truck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entering Sight Distance for Controlled Intersections | 680' | 680' | 595' | 510' |
| Supplemental AASHTO and ADAAG Design Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| Terrain | Level | Level | Level | Rolling |
| "The longer sight distance and curve radii commensurate with higher design speeds result in safer highways and should be used to the extent practical." - AASHTO |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Rate of Vertical Curvature K (Crest / Sag) | 61/79 | 61/79 | 29 / 49 | 29 / 49 |
| Max Grade (Urban / Rural) | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | 11\% / 9\% |
| Min Grade | 0.3-0.5\% | 0.3-0.5\% | 0.3-0.5\% | 0.3-0.5\% |
| Cross Slope | 1.5-3\% | 1.5-3\% | 1.5\%-3\% | 1.5\%-3\% |
| ADAAG Pedestrian Max Grade | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% (Terrain Permitting) |


| Cheyenne UDC Technical Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Roadway Classification | Min. Arterial | Min. Arterial | Collector B | Collector A |
| Daily Traffic Volume Range | 7,500-18,000 | 7,500-18,000 | 6,000-10,000 | 5,000-7,000 |
| Forecast (ADT/DHV) | 8,750/960 | 5,050/560 | 6,150/740 | 3,400/410 |
| Design Speed | 45 | 45 | 35 | 35 |
| Speed Limit | 30-45 | 30-45 | 30-35 | 30-35 |
| Design Vehicle | WB-67 | WB-67 | B-40 | B-40 |
| Minimum Sight Distance (Driveway / Intersections) | 830' | 830' | 660' | 660' |
| Stopping Sight Distance | 325' | 325' | 200' | 200' |
| Minimum Intersection Spacing | 660' | 660' | 330' | 330' |
| Distance between Signals | 1320' | 1320' | n/a | n/a |
| Minimum Access Separation Corner | 330 ' | 330' | $150 '$ | 150' |
| Minimum Access Separation other access | 330' | 330' | 75' | 150' |
| Driveway Approach and Street Configuration | Radial Curb Return | Radial Curb Return | Flared | Flared |
| Required Curb and Gutter Type | 6 " Vertical | 6" Vertical | 6" Vertical | 6 " Vertical |
| Minimum Full depth HPB Section | 7" | 7" | 6 " | 6 " |
| Minimum Composite Sections Depth (HBP/ABC) | 5" / 8' | 5" / 8" | 4" / 8" | 4" / 8" |
| Grade Max / Min | 6\% / 0.5\% | 6\% / 0.5\% | 8\% / 0.5\% | 8\% / 0.5\% |
| Maximum Super-elevation | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Acceleration/Deceleration Lanes | Per UDC Section 4.3.7 | Per UDC Section 4.3.7 | n/a | n/a |


| Cheyenne UDC Geometric and Urban Design Standards |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Roadway <br> Classification | Min. Arterial | Min. Arterial | Collector B | Collector A |
| A: Number of Lanes (width) | $2 \times 12^{\prime}$ | $2 \times 12^{\prime}$ | $2 \times 12^{\prime}$ | $2 \times 12^{\prime}$ |
| B: Median Type (width) | Painted (12') | Painted (12') | Painted (12') | None |
| C: Parking | None | None | None | None |
| D: Bike Lane / Shoulder | $6^{\prime}$ | $6^{\prime}$ | $6^{\prime}$ | $6^{\prime}$ |
| E: Roadway Width (BC-BC) | $48^{\prime}$ Minimum <br> (2-Lane <br> w/Median) | $48^{\prime}$ Minimum <br> (2-Lane <br> w/Median) | $48^{\prime}$ Minimum | $36^{\prime}$ Minimum |
| F: Tree Lawn / Landscape Strip | $8^{\prime}$ minimum | $8^{\prime}$ minimum | $8^{\prime}$ | $8^{\prime}$ |
| G: Pedestrian Area | $6^{\prime}$ Minimum | $6^{\prime}$ Minimum | $6^{\prime}$ | $6^{\prime}$ |
| H Right of Way Width | $100^{\prime}$ Minimum | $100^{\prime}$ Minimum | $80^{\prime}$ Minimum | $70^{\prime}$ Minimum |

## Basic City of Cheyenne UDC Road Cross Sections:

The cross sections illustrated below correspond to the table above from the Cheyenne UDC.
Storey Blvd would be designed to UDC "Minor Arterial" standards with 10' greenway on the north side.
Van Buren north of the bluff may be designed as a Class B Collector with a $10^{\prime}$ pathway on the east side.
South of the bluff, Van Buren may be designed as a Class A Collector Street (without a center turn lane). The $80^{\prime}$ ROW should be retained throughout Van Buren to allow flexibility in the horizontal and vertical alignment of the $10^{\prime}$ non-motorized pathway.


Basic Laramie County Road Cross Sections:

The study will reference these three basic County and City cross sections as minimal requirements, with the addition of a ten-foot shared use path along the north side of Storey, and the east side of Van Buren. Storey will be an urban minor arterial. Van Buren will be a three lane collector north of the bluff, and a 2lane south of the bluff, with an $80^{\prime}$ right of way throughout.

## Urban Minor Arterial Street



Urban Collector Street Without Parking


## Preliminary Alignments Considered

The map below depicts potential alignments of the roadways superimposed on a map showing terrain, soils, drainage, and the existing ridgeline private trail.

## - Storey Boulevard Alignments

Most of the Storey Extension follows the north section lines of sections 22 and 23. Because of the obvious terrain limitations and environmental impacts imposed by cutting through the steep hill, a direct alignment of Storey along the section line was rejected. This left two preliminary alternatives which were evaluated for extension of Storey (map below).

The northern alignment (Storey 1) follows the section line as closely as possible while avoiding the hill. This alignment impacts the federally designated wetland between Highland and Woods Roads, and is closer to existing homes on the north.

The southern alignment (Storey 2) avoids both the steep hill and the wetland. It follows a line approximately one typical lot width south of the section line. This leaves space for new developable lots on the north side of Storey Boulevard, and locates the alignment further away from existing homes.

The study recommended eliminating the Storey 1 alignment, and further refinement of the Storey 2 alignment to meet geometric design criteria, maximize performance, and minimize costs and impacts.

- Van Buren Avenue Alignments


The Van Buren Extension has two potential alignments south of the bluff, and four alignments north of the bluff.

South of the bluff, Van Buren can follow west or east of the creek that drains the arroyo. Steep terrain is the major constraint for street construction.

The west alignment (Van Buren ABC below) follows a natural shelf (blue, 5\% slope) along the west bank of the arroyo. Route $A B C$ crosses a drain just north of the current Van Buren terminus and gradually spirals uphill as it rounds the left embankment. This route would entail less earth moving and less environmental risk from construction.

The east alignment (Van Buren D) remains east of the existing drain. It would cross an intermediate ridge and then steep terrain on the north bank of the arroyo. Construction along route $D$ would involve more earth moving and risk environmental impacts than alignment $A B C$.

Image: Slopes and South Van Buren Alignments


North of the bluff, the gently sloping terrain presents less of a constraint. Soils, drainage, and network considerations increase in relative importance.

Route "Van Buren A" It follows a slight ridge and avoids crossing drains until past the route B cutoff. It then crosses a number of small drainages and creates a new intersection at points 1A or 2A. Soils are less desirable on the west. Route A aligns with Woods Road. Connections north on Woods Road terminate at Columbia Drive, 0.64 miles east of College.

Route "Van Buren B" departs from route A and avoids crossing drainages by following a slight ridge northeast to align with Van Buren. It creates a new intersection with Storey Extension at either point 1B or 2B. Connections north on Van Buren continue 1000' north; jog 385 feet east along Skyline Drive, then 0.85 miles north to Four Mile Road. Four Mile Road is envisioned as a future east-west arterial and provides a logical terminus for a collector street.

Route "Van Buren C" turns sharply on top of the bluff and follows the bottom of a drainage north to an intersection at 1B or 2B and intersects Van Buren Avenue. Other characteristics are identical to route $B$.

## Cheyenne Metropolitan Planning Organization
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Route "Van Buren D" is the easternmost route. Once atop the bluff, soil conditions are slightly better than alignments further west. The alignment crosses two major drains north of the bluff. Intersections and other considerations are identical to routes B and C.

The position of the intersection 2B (at the bottom of a sag vertical curve) creates good intersection stopping sight distance.

## Recommended Alignments

The study team recommended Storey Alignment 2 for further geometric refinement for the following reasons:

- Alignment 2 avoids both the steep hill and the wetland along the section line.
- Alignment 2 is further from existing homes, offering less potential for impacts.
- Alignment 2 provides space for new high quality lots on south side of the hill and section line.

The study team recommended Van Buren Alignment " $B$ " for further geometric refinement for the following reasons:

- Alignment $B$ is on the west side of the arroyo (gulch) north of Dell Range. The west side provides a shallower gradient on a shelf above the stream bed. This requires less earth moving to achieve a shallower gradient and gentler vertical and horizontal curvatures.
- Alignment B connects to the Van Buren north terminus and provides better service as a collector street connecting to the future extension of Four Mile Road.
- Alignment B stays higher on the slope than alignment C, avoiding impacts on streams and drainage.
- Alignment B provides a better location for a future TEE intersection of Thomas Road. Thomas is shorter, with less drainage issues and superior sight distance at the future intersection.


## Refinement of Alignments 2 and $B$

Using Alignments 2 and $B$ as a basis, Western refined the alignments to minimize earth moving, accomplish gradual vertical grades and contours, smooth horizontal curvatures, and achieve an intersection at an angle of 80-90 degrees per Laramie County road design regulations.

This had the effect of realigning Storey Boulevard on a more NW-SE diagonal, with a slight relocation of the Van Buren intersection to maintain a 90-degree crossing.

While this realignment creates a narrow triangular lot near Highland Avenue, the lot is very low and would be suited to future use as runoff detention - which will be required for any development.
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## Intersection Recommendations

Existing study area intersections consistently show more injury crashes where crossing movements are allowed. CROSS intersections have more conflict points and angle crashes. Roundabouts produce a low-speed environment and substantial safety advantages. ${ }^{17}$ For this reason, this study recommends that new cross intersections be avoided when possible, that the network use TEE or roundabout instersections instead, and that cross intersections be converted to roundabouts, space and budget permitting. ${ }^{18}$

## Intersection of Storey Extension at Van Buren Extension

Van Buren and Storey will cross south of the existing terminus of Van Buren. Build-out volumes indicate that a flared 2-lane eastwest by 1-lane north-south would meet any likely capacity contingency (diagram ${ }^{19}$ ). Such a design could be staged - as a temporary single lane for interim capacity requirements. The diameter for this type of roundabout is about 180 feet. A ten foot outer ring for landscaping, utilities and pedestrian space yields a suitable right-of-way reservation of a 100 -foot right of way
 radius.
*Until traffic volumes develop, the right of way is adequate for whatever intersection type the responsible road jurisdiction may decide is appropriate.*

## Roundabout Intersection Space Requirements ${ }^{20}$

Roundabouts need less overall space than people tend to expect. While they usually require more space within the intersection, they save space on corridors between intersections because flared entry designs can accommodate more vehicles per travel lane. Right of way space along the roadway can instead provide added space for pedestrians, landscaping, parking, or other uses. The following are typical diameters for various roundabout types:

- Mini-roundabout 14-28 m (46-92 ft)
- Urban compact $25-30 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $80-100 \mathrm{ft}$ )
- Urban single lane $30-40 \mathrm{~m}$ (100-130 ft)
- Urban double lane $45-55 \mathrm{~m}(150-180 \mathrm{ft})$
- Rural double lane 55-60 m (180-200 ft)


[^9]
## Non-Motorized Facilities

PlanCheyenne 2014 included proposed routes for extension of the Greenway system through the study area. That proposed alignment followed Summit to Highland, then south on the west Gysel property lines to the ridge line, then east along the ridge to Whitney Road (left map below). With extension of Van Buren up the gully and across the ridge, that trail alignment would have resulted in a pedestrian crosswalk at a location with potentially restricted visibility.

Instead, the route was redesigned to follow the new roadways (map at right, below). A greenway facility (10' shared use trail) will follow the north side of the new Storey alignment from College to Whitney. A 10 trail facility will also be provided on the east side of Van Buren - eliminating the need for a pedestrian crossing at the ridge line. Safe crossings will be provided at the roundabout at Van Buren and Storey.

Left: 2014 Plan Cheyenne Trail Alignment


Right: Recommended Trail Alignment
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## Recommended Cross Sections

The recommended cross sections are described generally as follows:

## Storey from College Drive to Highland Road

This 80 ' right of way is not wide enough to accommodate all of the features in county and city codes for minor arterials. For that reason, and for consistency, this section is identical to the section west of College. The roadway will have two 12-foot travel lanes, a 12-foot center left turn lane, two 6' bike lanes, and two 2 -foot gutters with 6 inch vertical curb. The north side will have a 10-foot Greenway shared use path with no parkway, and the south side will have a 5-foot landscape strip and 5' sidewalk.

## Storey from Highland Road to Whitney Road

This minor arterial will have a 100-foot right of way, sufficient for full compliance with City and County regulations. The roadway will have two 12-foot travel lanes, a 12 -foot center left turn lane, two 6' bike lanes, and two 2 -foot gutters with a 6-inch vertical curb. The north side will have an 8-foot landscape strip and 10 -foot Greenway. The south side will have an 8 -foot landscape strip and an $8^{\prime}$ sidewalk.

## Van Buren north of the bluff

This collector segment will have an 80-foot right of way with a cross section fully compliant with both City and County design regulations. The roadway will consist of two 12-foot travel lanes, a 12-foot center left turn lane, two 6 -foot bike lanes, and two 2 -foot gutters with 6 -inch vertical curb. The east side will have a 6-foot landscape strip and 10-foot Greenway. The west side will have a 6 -foot landscape strip and 6' sidewalk.

## Van Buren south of the bluff

The steeper grade south of the bluff requires more flexibility within the 80 ' right of way. For that reason, it is proposed as a UDC Collector Type A: without a center left turn lane. The roadway will consist of two 12 -foot travel lanes, two six-foot bikes lanes, and two 2 -foot gutters with a 6 -inch vertical curb. The landscape strip will be of variable width to allow maximum flexibility for vertical and horizontal alignment of the pedestrian facility to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). A tenfoot Greenway shared use path will follow the east side of the roadway, with a six-foot sidewalk on the west side of the roadway.

## New Right of Way Requirements

Storey Boulevard will be 100 feet wide. A 50' half right of way has already been reserved in Section 14. In section 23, Storey will have an area of 2.4 acres on the Whitney Property (parcel 30), and 7.5 acres on the Gysel property (parcel 29). Van Buren would have an 80 right of way and a total area of 8.0 acres. The total right of way for both right of way will be 17.9 acres.

## Recommended Alignments and Rights of Way

Diagrams on the following pages depict the recommended alignments and rights of way for the corridors.
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## APPENDIX 1 ZONING EXCERPT

## 4-1-101 ZONING DISTRICTS

Portions of Laramie County, Wyoming are divided into districts and classified as follows:

## AR Agricultural Residential

## 4-2-100 DISTRICT AR - AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL

Areas primarily used for large lot detached residential development at a density which typifies a rural lifestyle and which may include some agricultural uses are considered agricultural residential.
a. Uses by Right
i. Accessory structures
ii. Family child care home
iii. Home occupations
iv. Single-family residential
v. Family child care centers
vi. Bed and breakfasts
b. Uses Requiring Board Approval
i. Animal hospitals or clinics
ii. Commercial stables, arenas, kennels, bird
farms and show barns
iii. Child care centers - minor and major
c. Density

Minimum area for any use in this district is 5 acres computed consistent with the following exceptions:
i. If the property is served by an approved central water distribution system, and/or sewer collection and treatment system, the minimum residential use lot or residential use tract area in this district may be reduced subject to a review and approval from the State Department of Environmental Quality. The computation of lot or tract sizes in this exception shall not include adjacent public or private rights-ofway, easements or reservations for roadway purposes.
ii. Lots or tracts platted and recorded with the County Clerk prior to May 5, 1987 shall be a minimum of 2.5 acres for any use in this district.
iii. Lots or tracts platted and recorded with the County Clerk prior to June 5, 1979 may be used as building sites for uses allowed in this district if adequate provisions can be made for water and sewer/septic.
d. Setbacks

All single family structures and shall be set back twenty-five (25) feet from primary lot frontage lines, fifteen (15) feet from all other property lines. All other non-residential principal structures shall be set back twenty-five (25) feet from all property lines. Accessory structures shall conform to section 2-2-118 of this regulation.
e. Site Plan

A County-approved site plan shall be required in accordance with section 2-2-133 these regulations.

## A1 Agriculture and Rural Residential

## 4-2-101 DISTRICT A1 - AGRICULTURAL AND RURAL RESIDENTIAL

Areas in a natural state or in which the growing of crops, flowers, trees, or pasture, or the production of livestock or other farming or ranching activity is practiced, are considered agricultural and rural residential.
a. Uses by Right
i. Agriculture and uses incidental to an agricultural operation
ii. Family child care home
iii. Family child care centers
iv. Home occupations
v. Single-family residential
vi. Small Wind Energy Systems (Small Wind Energy Systems setbacks shall be equal to the largest district setbacks or the total height of the system, whichever is greater.)
vii. Animal Hospitals or Clinics
viii. Bed and breakfasts
ix. Cemeteries
x. Churches, Temples or other places of worship
xi. Duplexes
xii. Primary and Secondary Schools
xiii. Commercial nurseries and landscaping businesses
xiv. Road side Farm Stands
xvi. Accessory living quarters
b. Uses Requiring Board Approval

The following uses may be permitted by the Board:
i. Commercial sales of agricultural related products, not including fuel or petroleum products
ii. Work camps
iii. Any other similar use
iv. Commercial stables, arenas, kennels, bird farms and show barns
c. Density

The minimum lot size for any use in this district is ten (10) acres. For single family uses, the density may be averaged within the subdivision/development, provided that no lot or tract shall be less than six (6) acres gross.
The following exceptions are permissible:
i. Lots or tracts platted and recorded with the County Clerk prior to 256 Adopted February 15, 2011

November 2, 1999, shall be a minimum of 5-acres.
ii. Lots or tracts platted and recorded with the County Clerk prior to May 5, 1987, may be a minimum of 2.5-acres.
iii. Lots or tracts platted and recorded with the County Clerk prior to June 5, 1979, may be used as building sites if adequate provisions can be made for water and sewer/septic.
d. Setbacks

All principal structures shall be set back twenty-five (25) feet from all property lines.
Accessory structures shall conform to section 2-2-118 of this regulation.
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e. Site Plan

A County-approved site plan shall be required in accordance with section 2-2-133 of these regulations.

## A2 Agricultural

## 4-2-102 DISTRICT A2 - AGRICULTURAL

Areas in a natural state or in which the growing of crops, flowers, trees, or pasture, or the production of livestock, or other farming or ranching activity is practiced, are considered agricultural.
a. Uses by Right
i. Agriculture and uses incidental to an agricultural operation
ii. Animal Hospitals, Clinics
iii. Bed and breakfasts
iv. Cemeteries
v. Commercial nurseries or landscaping businesses
vi. Family child care home
vii. Family child care centers
viii. Home occupations
ix. Single-family residential
x. Small Wind Energy Systems (Small Wind Energy Systems setbacks shall be equal to the largest district setbacks or the total height of the system, whichever is greater.
xi. Churches, temples or other places of worship
xii. Duplexes
xiii. Child care centers - minor
xiv. Primary and secondary schools.
xv. Commercial stables, arenas, kennels, bird farms and show barns
xvi. Farm stands
xvii. Accessory living quarters
b. Uses Requiring Board Approval

The following uses may be permitted by the Board:
i. Agricultural equipment or product sales
ii. Commercial animal processing plants
iii. Commercial feed lots
iv. Golf Course
v. Race tracks
vi. Work camps
vii. Any other similar use
c. Density

The minimum lot size for any use in this district is twenty (20) acres.
d. Setbacks

All principal structures shall be set back twenty-five (25) feet from all property lines.
Accessory structures shall conform to section 2-2-118 of this regulation.
e. Site Plan

A County-approved site plan shall be required in accordance with section 2-2-133 of these regulations."
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## APPENDIX 2

## Resolutions / Ordinances

## ENTITLED:

## A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE PLAN: OFFICLAL MAP FOR STOREY BOULEVARD/SUMMIT ROAD AND VAN BUREN AVENUE INCLUDING 10\% DESIGN PLAN

WHEREAS, the 2040 Roadway Vision Plan from PlanCheyenne includes the extension of Storey Boulevard from Highland Road to Whitney Road and Van Buren Avenue from Opal Drive to Storey Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, the Cheyenne Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) retained Western Research and Development, LTD to develop the Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue including 10\% Design Plan to address regional roadway connectivity for all transportation users and accommodate future development; and

WHEREAS, the project was guided by local agencies and organizations including the Cheyenne MPO, the Laramie County Planning Department, the Laramie County Public Works Department, the City of Cheyenne Urban Planning Department, and the City of Cheyenne Engineering Department; and

WHEREAS, public input was obtained through the Cheyenne MPO website and through meetings with principal landowners; and

WHEREAS, the Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue including $10 \%$ Design Plan provides a multi-modal corridor plan that solidifies the alignment of the future Storey Boulevard and Van Buren Avenue by proposing design criteria and recommendations for road alignments and cross sections, proposed right-of-way requirements, and pedestrian and bicycle facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Laramie County Planning Commission held a Public Hearing on January 12, 2017, accepted public comments, and recommended that the Laramie County Board of Commissioners approve the Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue including $10 \%$ Design Plan.

## NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY

COMMISSIONERS OF LARAMIE COUNTY, WYOMING, adopts the Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue including 10\% Design Plan and agrees to use the Plan as guidance for the future development of Storey Blvd and Van Buren Avenue.


ATTEST:


Approved as to form:


Mark T. Voss, Laramie County Attorney


## ENTITLED: "A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE PLAN: OFFICIAL MAP FOR STOREY BOULEVARD/SUMMIT ROAD AND VAN BURET AVENUE INCLUDING 10\% DESIGN PLAN."

WHEREAS, the 2040 Roadway Vision Plan from PlanCheyenne includes the extension of Storey Boulevard from Highland Road to Whitney Road and Van Buren Avenue from Opal Drive to Storey Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, the Cheyenne Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) retained Western Research and Development, LTD, to develop the Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue including 10\% Design Plan to address regional roadway connectivity for all transportation users and accommodate future development; and

WHEREAS, the project was guided by local agencies and organizations including the Cheyenne MPO, the Laramie County Planning Department, the Laramie County Public Works Department, the City of Cheyenne Urban Planning Department, and the City of Cheyenne Engineering Department; and

WHEREAS, public input was obtained through the Cheyenne MPO website and through meetings with principal landowners; and

WHEREAS, the Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue including 10\% Design Plan provides a multi-modal corridor plan that solidifies the alignment of the future Storey Boulevard and Van Buren Avenue by proposing design criteria and recommendations for road alignments and cross sections, proposed right-ofway requirements, and pedestrian and bicycle facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Cheyenne MPO Technical and Citizen's Advisory Committees reviewed the Plan and recommended adoption by the MPO Policy Committee on November 16 and 17, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the City of Cheyenne Planning Commission held a Public Hearing on January 17, 2017, accepted public comments, and recommended that the Governing Body approve the Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue including 10\% Design Plan.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF CHEYENNE, WYOMING, adopts the Official Map for Storey Boulevard/Summit Road and Van Buren Avenue including 10\% Design Plan and agrees to use the Plan as guidance for the future development of Storey Blvd and Van Buren Avenue.

PRESENTED, READ AND ADOPTED this 13th_ day of February, 2017.

(SEAL)
ATTEST:
Carol batertatier
Carol Intlekofer, City Clerk

Apply
 2015, BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING ROADWAY SEGMENTS: STOREY BOULEVARD EXTENSION FROM HIGHLAND DRIVE TO BECKIE ROAD AND VAN BUREN AVENUE EXTENSION FROM OPAL DRIVE TO STOREY BOULEVARD."

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF CHEYENNE, WYOMING:
Section 1. That pursuant to authority set forth in Wyoming Statute 15-1-508 and Title 1, Chapter 1.20.010 of the Cheyenne City Code, the Governing Body hereby finds that:
A. The alignments and rights of way for Storey Boulevard and Van Buran Avenue are now accurately surveyed and definitely located and are shown on the attached map; and
B. The Cheyenne Planning Commission held a Public Hearing on Tuesday January 17, 2017 and did recommend the approval of the proposed changes as an amendment to the Official Map as required by Wyoming Statute 15-1-508.

Section 2. That the City Clerk is directed to file an executed copy of this ordinance with the Official Maps with the County Clerk.

Section 3. The City Engineer or his designated representative shall alter or amend the Major Street Plan Official Map of the City of Cheyenne.

Section 4. That this ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and publication.

FIRST READING:

SECOND READING:
THIRD READING:

Febuary 13, 2017
February 27. 2017

(SEAL)

## ATTEST:


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ AOI = "Area of Interest" as shown in color on the soils maps.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ City of Cheyenne Unified Development Code http://www.cheyennecity.org/DocumentCenter/View/20776

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Source: State of Wyoming http://seoweb.wyo.gov/e-Permit/common/login.aspx?ReturnUrl=\%2fe-Permit\%2f ${ }^{4}$ US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory, Wetland Mapper, Version 2. https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Interview with Frank Cole, Oct 10, 2016

[^4]:    6 "Cheyenne On-Street Bicycle Plan and Greenway Plan Update, Volume I," Cheyenne MPO, June 2012
    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Ibid}, \mathrm{pg} 36$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ Structure Diagram, Community Plan: PlanCheyenne: Reflections and Progress, Cheyenne MPO, April, 2014. pg 47

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ The Laramie County Land Use Regulations, Ch 5: Chapter 5 - Road/Street Design Standards, Adopted February 15, 2011,
    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{lbid} . \mathrm{pg} 188$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Cheyenne Unified Development Code, City of Cheyenne, WY, Amended January 20, 2016, pg 4-9

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ Laramie County Land Use Regulations, Chapter 5 - Road/Street Design Standards, adopted February 15, 2011
    ${ }^{13}$ American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) "A Policy on Geometric Design of Roads and Streets," (Green Book) CH VI: Collector Roads and Streets, Washington DC, 2004.
    ${ }^{14}$ City of Cheyenne Wyoming Unified Development Code (UDC), Section 4.3, updated October 14, 2015

[^8]:    15 * Asterisk indicates standard from a source other than County Land Use Regulations.
    ${ }^{16}$ See Table 106-4: Stopping and Deceleration Adjustment Factors for Highway Grade, Laramie County Land Use Regulations, pg 206.

[^9]:    ${ }^{17}$ Proven Safety Countermeasures - Roundabouts, US Federal Highway Administration, Oct., 2014.
    ${ }^{18}$ National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 672: Roundabouts: An Informational Guide Second Edition, 2010. pg 71.
    ${ }^{19}$ Roundabouts: An Informational Guide, US Federal Highway Administration, FHWA-RD-00-067, June 2000, Appendix B Example of a typical flared-entry roundabout. pg 262.
    ${ }^{20}$ Source: National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 672: Roundabouts: An Informational Guide - Second Edition : Appendix B: Example Roundabout Designs

