

Powderhouse RFP Q&A and Proposal Modification

Powderhouse RFP Questions Received

- “In our review of the RFP, we see that the Cheyenne MPO has \$100,000 budgeted for this project. Are there additional funds available if the scope of work requires it?”

Answer = The selection is qualifications based. Once the most qualified is selected and their cost envelope is opened, the MPO Office will negotiate the contract price with that selected consultant. The MPO budget is flexible enough that if the selected consultants price is higher than the current budget we have the ability to change our budget if appropriate.

- “The RFP notes that funding has been identified for a new signal at Powderhouse Road and Carlson Street, as well as the reconstruction of the east leg of Carlson Street (implied but not clear in RFP). Is the signal and reconstruction design part of this project scope, or is it a separate project that will need to be considered and coordinated with while preparing the Powderhouse Road Corridor Plan?”

Answer = The school district is building Coyote Ridge, a 5/6 elementary school with ground breaking in the spring of 2022. The school will be completed for the beginning of the 2023/24 school year. The City will be selecting a firm to design the traffic signal shortly. The intersection and signal will be constructed by the time the school opens in August, 2023. The school district is building Carlson east of Powderhouse as part of the school construction project. The design of the intersection and signal will be separate from this planning work, but it is expected that the firm for the plan will coordinate with the designer of the Carlson and Powderhouse intersection.

- “The RFP notes that Laramie County has identified the need to explore pavement maintenance options and potential upgrades. Part of the scope is to evaluate and recommend a new cross section and/or other design solutions that address “these problems”. Can the MPO please clarify what specific maintenance problems the County has identified, and that the consultant will need to address?”

Answer = The Pavement Condition Index for this section of county road is 66 (fair condition). The County Public Works was planning to conduct pavement maintenance on the roadway, but since this plan is being done, the county will wait until the plan is completed to move forward with any maintenance. They wanted to first see what the build-out recommended was.

Other RFP Modification

The interviews have been changed to the morning of May 24th.